

DEPARTMENT OF HISTORY

Department Writing Plan

Version 2.0, Revised Fall 2021

Compiled by

Dr. Tamara Whited, History

History Department Ad-Hoc Committee on Writing: Drs. Paul Arpaia, Werner Lippert, Joseph Mannard, and Elizabeth Ricketts with Dr. Bryna Siegel Finer, Director, IUP Writing Across the Curriculum

Submitted to:

Dr. R. Scott Moore, Department Chair the Faculty of the Department of History Dr. Yaw Asamoah, College of Humanities and Social Sciences Provost Timothy Moerland Dr. Edel Reilly, Director of Liberal Studies

Table of Contents

| Summary | 2 |
|---|----|
| Writing Characteristics Specific to the Discipline of History | |
| Desired Student Writing Abilities | |
| Communicating Writing Expectations to Students | |
| Syllabus Statement | 5 |
| Assessment of Student Writing | |
| Learning Outcomes | 6 |
| Writing Outcomes Curriculum Map | 7 |
| Appendix A: Assessment Protocol and Rubric | 30 |

| Anr | nendiv | R٠ | Accessment | Recults | 33 |
|-----|--------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------|
| Th | Jenuia | D. . | ASSESSIFICITE | Nesults | JJ |

Summary

The History Department began drafting this Writing Plan in January 2017, when Dr. Siegel Finer (WAC director) met with Dr. Moore (History Department chair) and Dr. Whited (selected by Dr. Moore to spearhead the Writing Plan). Dr. Siegel Finer clarified the reasons for moving toward a WAC model at IUP and explained the desired elements of a Departmental Writing Plan (DWP).

Dr. Whited then collected syllabi from 100-, 200-, 300-, and 400-level History courses, as well as EDUC courses taught by History faculty, used over the preceding three academic years. She then selected the most recent syllabi of courses taught repeatedly throughout that time frame. Dr. Whited proceeded to extract writing assignments from these syllabi, place them in a curriculum map, and interview all members of the History Department with regard to their rationales for these assignments. Completion of the curriculum map absorbed about two months.

Dr. Whited and Dr. Siegel Finer met on a monthly basis throughout the Spring 2017 semester in order to review progress on the plan and orient future work on it. Dr. Whited also chaired and met twice with a History Department ad hoc writing committee consisting of Drs. Arpaia, Lippert, Mannard, and Ricketts. This committee devoted its Spring 2017 meetings to the discussion of desired student writing skills, philosophies of assessment, and assessment rubrics.

Dr. Siegel Finer conducted a professional development workshop for members of the History Department on May 4, 2017. This workshop focused on teaching writing in our discipline both in a general sense and with an eye toward the business careers that will be pursued by many of our graduates.

Two members of the History Department, Drs. Baker and Whited, attended the two-day Liberal Studies writing workshop on May 15 and 16.

The History Department's ad hoc writing committee met in Fall 2017 to finalize the assessment rubric and conduct an initial assessment during that same semester. On November 2, 2017, the History Department faculty voted unanimously to approve the writing plan.

The plan was revised in Fall 2021 to add updated assessment results. Faculty voted unanimously on February 1, 2022, to support the revision.

HISTORY Department Writing Plan Rollout Fall 2017

Writing Characteristics Specific to the Discipline of History

Upon discussion of the characteristics of good writing practiced by professional historians, the members of the History Department's ad hoc writing committee agree that the "Revised Writing Enriched Curriculum (WEC) Writing Plan" finalized by the History Department of the University of Minnesota in January 2014, provides a set of statements that well articulate our own understanding of effective writing in our discipline. We fully acknowledge the origin of and adapt these statements as follows:¹

The best scholarly writing in the professional discipline of History is characterized by the following substantive and stylistic elements:

- 1) Examination of the beliefs, practices, and relationships that have shaped human experience in a temporal context, focusing on sequences of events and changes over time;
- 2) Critical awareness of the qualities, value, and limitations of historical sources, as well as the necessarily interpretive and mediated nature of historical analysis;
- 3) Argument that begins with a question and offers in answer an interpretation of the past that takes into account and is supported by full range of appropriate evidence;
- 4) Acknowledgement of and response to existing historical scholarship;
- 5) Accessible, clear prose and logical organization that enables readers to retrace the writer's steps and follow the development of the argument.

Desired Student Writing Abilities

The History Department instructs various constituencies of students in historical thinking and writing in the discipline. Since its inception, the Liberal Studies program has included a History requirement as fundamental to the education of all undergraduates at IUP. In addition, students from across the university enroll in History courses in order to fulfill Liberal Studies elective and free elective requirements. Specific History courses also count toward requirements in various minor programs. For practical purposes, we divide the

¹ "Department of History Revised WEC Writing Plan," January 16, 2014, http://undergrad.umn.edu/cwb/pdf/history.pdf (Accessed February 21, 2017).

desired writing skills of our undergraduates into two categories: a set of writing skills expected of students exiting the Liberal Studies requirement, and an additional set of skills we expect of our majors and minors.

Here, too, we have been inspired by the University of Minnesota's Revised WEC Writing Plan, cited above, and adapt it as follows:

An IUP student who completes the Liberal Studies courses HIST 196, 197, or 198, will have received appropriate instruction and should be able to:

- 1) Demonstrate understanding of societal change over time;
- 2) Show an ability to discern historical causation;
- 3) Demonstrate awareness of the importance of particular historical examples;
- 4) Use writing to further their thinking and develop their own ideas;
- 5) Use writing to communicate ideas effectively in lucid, accessible prose.

An IUP student who completes the History or Social Studies Education major will have received appropriate instruction and should be able to:

- 1) Demonstrate an understanding of the particular nature, value, limitations, and incompleteness of historical sources;
- 2) Identify and locate historical sources through appropriate methods, techniques, and tools:
- 3) Identify and summarize the main argument and evidence in scholarly articles and historical monographs;
- 4) Engage in critical and persuasive analysis of primary sources;
- 5) Identify, use, and cite evidence appropriately and accurately;
- 6) Make a persuasive and logically organized argument that answers a question;
- 7) Select and justify pertinent research topics;
- 8) Locate and annotate pertinent bibliographies;
- 9) Synthesize primary and secondary historical sources in discipline-appropriate genres.

Communicating Writing Expectations to Students

Writing is central to the curricula of the History and Social Studies Education programs. Writing constitutes the foremost way in which students participate in the discipline; it is central to how they analyze and communicate historical information. The constant practice of writing prepares our students for a multiplicity of careers, ranging from education to public history, law, business, civil service, and others.

History faculty communicate the importance of writing both in and out of the classroom. We describe writing activities and assignments on syllabi and reinforce these explanations in class. Some of us use rubrics tailored for specific assignments and discuss our expectations about good writing with students. In a variety of individual ways, History faculty encourage class discussion of writing projects, devote class time to peer review, provide feedback on drafts, and at times require revision of major writing projects. We frequently refer students to the Writing Center.

Students in History and Social Studies Education receive reinforcement of the importance of excellent writing in several ways outside the classroom. In February 2018, the History Department will host its third annual student conference, a day-long event that showcases the research and writing skills of students who have produced excellent writing in upper-level History courses. In general, students base oral presentations on previously written research papers, an exercise allowing them to measure the similarities and differences between oral and written communication. All History classes are canceled on the day of this conference, and students in all History courses are urged, and at times required, to attend at least one panel, thereby further reinforcing the importance of writing to an even larger number of students.

In addition, the History Department highlights faculty research and writing through regularly scheduled brown-bag presentations. We invite students to attend these events, allowing those who come to draw connections between their own work and research and writing at a more advanced level. Likewise, faculty routinely encourage students to attend presentations by other IUP faculty as well as speakers invited to campus; a written response to a presentation often garners a student extra credit in a course.

Finally, the History Department has had a forum for the publication of student writing, "The Endnote." Although it has not published this journal in recent years, the Department is considering resurrecting "The Endnote" in light of renewed emphasis on writing in the College of Humanities and Social Sciences.

Syllabus Statement

History Department faculty have approved and agreed to include the following statement on their syllabi as appropriate in order to encourage a culture of writing and demonstrate the value of writing in our majors programs.

The History Department commits itself to developing the writing skills of students throughout the curriculum. In this class, you will complete writing assignments and activities designed to improve your ability to communicate in written formats.

Assessment of Student Writing

In consultation with the WAC Director, the History Department has developed a rubric (see Appendix A) to assess a writing assignment that is embedded as part of the majors' capstone course.

We plan to conduct a first assessment during the Fall 2017 semester. This will establish baseline data and help us revise the rubric and protocol as necessary. We will discuss the results with all History faculty. Curricular changes will be made according to the outcomes of each assessment and subsequent discussions among departmental faculty.

We plan to assure transparency with students by including a statement in syllabi for HIST 495, 496, 497, and 498, noting that students' writing may be selected for program assessment, but that this assessment will not affect their course grade.

The WAC Director recommends the following action items for continuing program facilitation, and the Department agrees to:

- Elect at least one faculty member to continue to be the WAC/HIST liaison;
- Provide all newly hired faculty a copy of the DWP, and recommend attendance at WAC workshops or the two-day writing workshop for Liberal Studies faculty;
- Add "Department Commitment to Writing" statement to department syllabi as appropriate;
- Administer assessment of capstone writing samples every two years and share results with the WAC Director and as part of the 5-year program review process; review feedback from assessment (see page 33) with department to close the loop between assessment and pedagogy
- Add areas for the teaching and assessment of writing as goals on departmental and faculty five-year review documents;
- Continue to update the Writing Outcomes Curriculum Map as courses are added, removed, and revised in the HIST curriculum (and communicate these changes to the WAC Director)

Learning Outcomes

By developing this Departmental Writing Program, the History Department demonstrates one of the ways in which it is helping to implement the university's strategic plan to adopt

high-impact practices (Goal 2, Strategy 1, Tactic 3). As shown in the Writing Outcomes Curriculum Map (below), the Department emphasizes writing at all levels of instruction and encourages the production of various genres for various audiences.

As detailed on the website of the College of Humanities and Social Sciences (https://www.iup.edu/humanities/skills-employers-want), written communication skills rank highly among the skills sought by employers, whether in business or the public sector. The History Department submits this plan under the aegis of the Dean of the College of Humanities and Social Sciences, Dr. Yaw Asamoah, who has spearheaded the Writing-Across-the-Curriculum movement at IUP.

Writing Outcomes Curriculum Map

The following Curriculum Map represents a changing set of approaches to the teaching of writing by History Department faculty, who vary, revise, and renew their assignments on a regular basis. The nature and number of regularly given assignments depends, for all of us, on the numbers of students in our classrooms, the aptitude of the students, and departmental expectations for them according to the level of instruction. Regardless of these constraints, History faculty assign and teach writing throughout the curriculum.

As will be evident, the map also represents a disparate set of approaches to the task: we believe this variety is of great benefit to students, for a given assignment will encourage more skill development in some students than in others. Formative feedback is guaranteed in HIST 295, HIST 395, and in the 400-level "Topics" courses and is integral to many other assignments in other courses.

| Course | Title | Writing Activities | Purpose | Genres Modeled through |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | | Reading |
| 196 | Explorations in | Short-answer | Synthesize historical | Textbook, |
| | U.S History | exam questions | information | primary sources, |
| | | News project | Identify and explain | historical |
| | | | significance of | monographs, |
| | | | contemporary issues | short stories, |
| | | Exam essays | Synthesize historical | articles, |
| | | | information; create | autobiography, |
| | | | causal and topical links | biography |
| | | Bonus essays | Synthesize historical | |
| | | | information | |

| Reaction paper based on articles | Synthesis of historical material | |
|--|--|--|
| or other sources Business advertisement | Contextualize historical commodities | |
| project Research project presentation | Extrapolate and communicate in-depth | |
| | content through PowerPoint slides | |
| Group project: PowerPoint presentation | Make an argument for the significance of chosen historical examples | |
| Final activity | Evaluate the importance of historical developments | |
| Short paragraphs | Identify main points from primary sources and formulate a position | |
| Short and longer essays on book quizzes | Identify arguments, identify evidence, and evaluate | |
| Discussion posts | Construct a historical argument based on evidence and analyze classmates' arguments | |
| In-class writing | Low-stakes writing to evaluate historical arguments | |
| Library assignment | Learn to locate materials in the Library and react to content from two magazines from different eras | |
| Exam questions on concepts, identifications, and comprehension | Verify reading, demonstrate understanding and synthesize historical information | |
| Short interpretations of primary and | Understand and interpret primary sources; practice succinct writing with historical evidence | |
| secondary sources; | instorical evidence | |

| | | interview projects | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|
| 197 | Explorations in European History | Book reaction papers based on historical fiction | Practicing completeness within a concise statement; practice articulating continuity and change; showing awareness of historical cause and effect; practice expressing empathy | Textbook, historical fiction, articles, primary sources, short stories |
| | | Documentary reaction papers | Practicing completeness within a concise statement; practice articulating continuity and change; showing awareness of historical cause and effect; practice expressing empathy | |
| | | Essay questions on book test | Identify causes and effects of historical events (insert below: practice summarizing events and experiences) | |
| | | Media review | WTL in order to locate and analyze digital sources | |
| | | Short essay | WTC based on a broad question | |
| | | Class work | Low-stakes group writing based on video clips, documents, and articles | |
| | | Discussion posts based on primary source or documentaries | Communicate historical analysis in succinct fashion | |
| | | Exam essays | Synthesize historical information | |

| 198 | Explorations in Global History | Analytical and argumentative paper based on monograph | Practice the ability to apply historical analysis and to communicate ideas in coherent fashion | Textbook, monographs, historical fiction, biography, primary sources, |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | Analytical and argumentative paper based on fictional literature | Practice the ability to apply historical analysis and to communicate ideas in coherent fashion | short stories, charts, maps, film |
| | | Identifications of historical terms | Learn how historians provide definitions to terms. | |
| | | Argumentative paragraphs | Practice effective communication at the paragraph level | |
| | | Analytical short essay | Practice effective communication at the essay level | |
| | | Note-taking | Combine learning comprehension and write-to-learn | |
| | | Formal e-mail netiquette | Practice one form of business communication | |
| | | Short online assignments | Extract key information through listening | |
| | | Presentation outlines | Organize and present information and differentiate among different types of sources | |
| | | Exam essays | Address historical causation through arguments and evidence | |
| | | Glass Palace | Synthesize historical information | |
| | | In-class writing assignments | Make connections between lecture material and readings | |
| | | Book tests | Synthesize historical information | |
| | | Book tests | Synthesize historical information | |

After completing a 100-level Liberal Studies requirement in History, students should demonstrate the following writing skills: make statements - at the sentence, paragraph, and essay levels - that demonstrate historical understanding, gauge historical importance of particular examples, discern cause and effect, and synthesize historical information. WTL in order to locate 201 Western Media review Textbook, primary sources, and analyze digital Civilization to popular history 1600 sources Synthesize historical Long essay and identifications information

| | | 10.01.01.00.010110 | | |
|-----|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| | | on exams | | |
| | | Short paper | Practice analysis and | |
| | | | argumentation | |
| | | Class work | Low-stakes collaboration | |
| | | | based on video clips and | |
| | | | documents and articles | |
| | | Short definitions | Synthesize historical | |
| | | and | information | |
| | | identifications | | |
| | | on exams | | |
| | | Book review | Respond to a book-length | |
| | | | source | |
| | | Short Essays | Construct cohesive | |
| | | based on | historical arguments | |
| | | textbook | around fact-based | |
| | | | interpretation | |
| 202 | Western | Short Essays | Construct cohesive | Textbook, |
| | Civilization since | based on | historical arguments | monograph, |
| | 1600 | primary sources | around fact-based | novel, short |
| | | | interpretation | primary sources, |
| | | Short answers | Synthesize historical | maps, charts, |
| | | and essays on | information | photographs, art |
| | | midterm and | | |
| | | final | | |
| | | Book review | Identify thesis and | |
| | | based on | evaluate sources | |
| | | monograph | | |
| | | Short answers | Identify terms and events | |
| | | and essays on | and make historical | |
| | | quizzes | connections | |
| | | Short answers | Draw connections | |
| | | on exams | between historical terms | |
| 204 | U.S. History to | Book reviews | Identify questions, theses, | Textbook, novel, |
| | 1877 | | and evidence in works of | monographs |
| | | | history | |

| | 1 | Τ | | |
|-----|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | Analyses of | Find specific evidence in | |
| | | documents | primary sources to | |
| | | | answer specific questions | |
| | | Exams that | Synthesize historical | |
| | | contain | evidence; make | |
| | | identifications, | arguments with proper | |
| | | short and long | evidence | |
| | | essays | | |
| | | Interpretations | Understand and interpret | |
| | | of primary | primary sources; practice | |
| | | sources | succinct writing with | |
| | | Sources | historical evidence | |
| | | Book review | | |
| | | Book review | Analyze how historical | |
| | | | narratives are | |
| 205 | | | constructed | - , , |
| 205 | U.S. History | News project | Identify and explain | Textbook, |
| | since 1877 | | significance of | monographs, |
| | | | contemporary issues | memoir, primary |
| | | Take-home exam | Construct a historical | sources, media |
| | | essay | argument on the basis of | sources, popular |
| | | | primary sources | history |
| | | Peer editing of | Low-stakes feedback | |
| | | take-home essay | from peers | |
| | | Primary source | Analyze primary sources | |
| | | analysis | | |
| | | Identifications, | Identify key information, | |
| | | short answers, | synthesize, and apply | |
| | | and take-home | learned material to a | |
| | | essay on exams | hypothetical situation; | |
| | | Cooky on chains | build a historical | |
| | | | argument from assigned | |
| | | | reading | |
| | | Book assignment | Extract information, | |
| | | Dook assignment | evaluate authors' | |
| | | | arguments, present an | |
| | | | _ | |
| | | Croup project | original argument | |
| | | Group project | Explore and teach a | |
| | | (PowerPoint) | related topic to the class | |
| | | Essays based on | Synthesize, paraphrase, | |
| | | book chapters | develop an argument | |
| | | | from evidence, identify | |
| | | | and trace historical | |
| | | | themes | |
| | | Short answers | Use memoirs as historical | |
| | | on book quizzes | evidence | |

| | | Discussion nosts | Analyzo primary cources | |
|-----|--------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | Discussion posts Zine project | Analyze primary sources Research, synthesize, present a historical argument focusing on visual evidence | |
| | | 5-7-page essay based on lengthy primary source | Analyze historical sources and change | |
| 206 | History of East Asia | Source analysis papers Low-stakes short | Address basic questions about a source in concise format Writing for | Lengthy primary source, memoirs |
| | | essays and paragraphs Bibliography | Identify and evaluate sources | |
| 240 | Zombies: A Cultural History | Discussion questions | Synthesize information | Primary sources Articles |
| | of Death, | Short essays | Writing to learn | Textbook Websites |
| | Disease, and Technology | Research project | Develop research skills | Short stories |
| | | Film critiques | Practice analysis | |
| | | Primary source analysis | Learn to analyze different viewpoints | |
| 245 | History and Climate Change | Essay based on historical and scientific sources | Apply historical analysis to contemporary problems | Historical and scientific articles, |
| | | Threaded discussions | Synthesize historical information for purposes of argument | historical monographs |
| | | Open-ended exam questions | Express understanding of cause-and-effect relationships surrounding climate change | |
| | | Final project | Employ more than one disciplinary lens through which to analyze a major environmental, economic, and political problem | |
| 251 | U.S. Military History | Culminating essay | Synthesis of monographs, primary sources, and lecture | Textbook, monographs, primary sources |

| | | Group audio- | Extrapolate and | memoir, book |
|-----|-----------------|-------------------------|--|------------------|
| | | visual project | communicate in-depth | chapter, film, |
| | | visuai project | content through | articles |
| | | | PowerPoint slides | di ticies |
| | | Current events | Low-stakes introduction | |
| | | essay | to historiographical | |
| | | CSSay | analysis and its relevance | |
| | | | to the present | |
| | | Historical | Demonstrate synthetic | |
| | | research | and evaluative skills in | |
| | | analysis | | |
| | | allalysis | placing a particular event within a historical | |
| | | | narrative | |
| | | Duimany gayinga | | |
| | | Primary source analysis | Analyze primary sources | |
| 265 | History of | Daily reading | Low-stakes writing to get | Primary sources: |
| | Power: Its Uses | journals | in the habit of writing and | political |
| | and Abuses | | show that writing isn't | treatises, |
| | | | hard; insure reading | conduct books, |
| | | | before discussion | religious texts, |
| | | Visual journals | Learn to decode a visual | public letters, |
| | | | image and express that | sermons, |
| | | | non-verbal | political |
| | | | communication in the | speeches |
| | | | written form | |
| | | Online | Short, low stakes writing | |
| | | discussions | to practice moving a | |
| | | | conversation along in a | |
| | | | virtual environment; to | |
| | | | mimic the nature of a | |
| | | | great deal of | |
| | | | professional/career | |
| | | | exchange | |
| | | Creative | To learn wider forms of | |
| | | midterm | communications | |
| | | | designed for a general | |
| | | (ID | audience | |
| | | "Run your own | Traditional academic | |
| | | country" essay | prose | |
| | | Life skills: how | To adapt tone and | |
| | | to email, etc. | composition to fit a | |
| | | D 1: | workplace environment | |
| | | Research | To quantify the number | |
| | | | of time 3 words or | |
| | | | phrases are used in a set | |

| | | | piece and determine what sort of significance that weighting brings to the reader. Also known as data story telling | |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 295 | Introduction to Historical Studies | draft/final historiography paper | Formulating historical arguments and understanding historiographical change | Ancient, medieval, and modern primary sources, short |
| | | Résumé | Professional development | textbook, theory, introductions to |
| | | Professional development reflections Primary source | Professional development Ask historical questions | articles, monographs, popular history, films |
| | | analysis and revision | about a source | |
| | | Reading worksheets | Isolate the main ideas in a text | |
| | | Article review | Analyze a shorter historical argument | |
| | | Book review | Analyze a longer historical argument | |
| | | Film review | Analyze differences between academic and popular history | |
| | | Primary source paper | Address basic questions about a source in concise format | |
| | | Final project | Begin learning how to construct a research project | |
| | | Final project | Begin learning how to construct a research project | |
| should primai in boo | l have the followin ry sources as histo k-length secondar | g writing skills: de rical evidence; ide y sources; constru | and Social Studies Educati emonstrate the uses of varion ntify in essay form the thes ct a historical argument; pr | ous kinds of is and evidence |
| 302 | Ancient Rome | Short written assignment Research preparation | WTC based on a broad questions. Justify topic, construct thesis, produce annotated | Brief textbooks, primary source reader |
| | | proparation | bibliography | |

| | | Research project | Demonstrate research | |
|-----|--|-------------------|--|---|
| | | | and synthesis in history | |
| | | In-class and out- | WTC as responses to | |
| | | of-class writing | varied prompts | |
| | | Midterm and | Critically analyze a | |
| | | final exam take- | historical question at | |
| | | home essays | length | |
| 305 | Renaissance and | Take-home | Defend an extended | Monographs |
| | Reformation | midterm essay | argument through | |
| | | (8 pages) | concrete historical | |
| | | | examples | |
| | | Take-home final | Defend an extended | |
| | | essay (6-8 | argument through | |
| | | pages) | concrete historical | |
| | | D 1 | examples | |
| | | Book essays | Identify and analyze | |
| | | | historical arguments; | |
| | | | identify how monographs | |
| | | | differ from textbooks; | |
| | | | articulate a considered | |
| 206 | Carles Madana | Duine come | opinion | Т |
| 306 | Early Modern | Primary source | Practicing deriving | Textbook, |
| | Europe | paper | meaning from primary | monographs, visual arts |
| | | Video regnenges | Sources | visual arts |
| | | Video responses | Low-stakes assignment | |
| | | Eight-page | Defend an extended | |
| | | midterm essay | argument through concrete historical | |
| | | | | |
| | | Final paper | examples Defend an extended | |
| | | Final paper | argument through | |
| | | | concrete historical | |
| | | | | |
| | | Analytical | | |
| | | | | |
| 307 | Europe in the | | | Historical and |
| 50, | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | J = J | Identifications | | |
| | | | information | |
| | | midterm and | | . I |
| | | | | |
| | | Identifications | Synthesize historical | |
| | | and essays on | information | |
| 307 | Europe in the Nineteenth Century | final | examples Discover that reading and writing are connected. Apply historical understanding to writing in its multiplicity of forms Synthesize historical information Synthesize historical | Historical and sociological monographs, novels, art, maps, charts |

| | 1 | | T | ı |
|-----|------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | midterm and final | | |
| | | Analysis based | Learn to read and analyze | |
| | | on close reading | sophisticated historical | |
| | | _ | material at a high level | |
| | | Short essay | Reflect upon and apply | |
| | | | historical understanding | |
| | | | to a memoir | |
| 313 | Europe Since | Midterm and | Synthesize historical | Textbook, |
| | 1945: Division, | final exam | information | memoirs, fable- |
| | Revolution, and | questions | | as-history |
| | Unity | Final project | Apply historical | |
| | | | understanding to writing | |
| | | | in its multiplicity of forms | |
| | | Source analyses | Interpret and analyze | |
| | | | primary sources | |
| 322 | French | Midterm and | Synthesize historical | Short textbook, |
| | Revolution and | final exam short | information | biography, |
| | Napoleon | answers and | | monograph, |
| | | longer essays | | primary sources |
| | | Tickets to class | Practice responsibility for | |
| | | | class discussion | |
| | | Book review | Analyze and evaluate an | |
| | | | extended historical | |
| | | | argument | |
| | | In-class | Low-stakes writing to | |
| | | reflections | facilitate reading | |
| | | | comprehension | |
| 323 | France, 1815 to | Midterm and | Synthesize historical | Monograph, |
| | the Present | final exam short | information | memoir, articles, |
| | | answers and | | book chapters, |
| | | longer essays | | primary sources, |
| | | Primary source | Interpret and analyze | novella |
| | | analyses | primary sources | |
| | | Tickets to class | Begin to synthesize | |
| | | and online | historical information | |
| 000 | | discussion | | A |
| 332 | History of Early | Analytical essays | Analyze historical change | Articles and |
| | China | Topic statements | Demonstrate research | primary sources |
| | | and annotated | and writing as process | |
| | | bibliographies | | |
| | | Research project | Demonstrate research | |
| | | | and synthesis in history | |
| | | Analytical essays | Analyze historical change | |

| 333 | Vietnam in War | Short-answer | Synthesize historical | Textbook, |
|-----|-----------------|-------------------|---|------------------|
| | and Revolution | exam questions | information | primary sources, |
| | | Threaded | Synthesize historical | historical |
| | | discussions | information to formulate | monographs, |
| | | | an argument/ take a | short stories, |
| | | | position | articles, |
| | | Presentation | Distill main points, | autobiography, |
| | | slides | provide supporting | biography, oral |
| | | | details, and make | history |
| | | | generalizations from | interviews |
| | | | historical information | |
| 337 | Modern Japan | Exam essays and | Synthesize historical | Articles |
| | | short answers | information | |
| | | Short-answer | Compare and contrast | |
| | | exam questions | historical terms | |
| 342 | The Early | Book reviews | Identify questions, theses, | Monographs, |
| | Republic: 1783- | | and evidence in works of | primary sources, |
| | 1860 | | history | autobiography |
| | | Primary source | Analyze themes in | |
| | | analyses | lengthy primary sources | |
| | | Discussion notes | Identify author's | |
| | | on assigned | argument analyze the use | |
| | | monographs and | of evidence and writing | |
| | | final essay exam | skills in a historical work; | |
| | | | synthesize historical | |
| | | | information; learn to | |
| | | | make historical | |
| | | | arguments in succinct | |
| | | | writing | |
| 343 | Civil War and | Research | Demonstrate historical | Textbook, |
| | Reconstruction | proposal | thinking by identifying | monographs |
| | | | appropriate questions for | |
| | | D C C 1 | study | |
| | | Draft of research | Learn to conduct | |
| | | paper | research and put various | |
| | | | sources into writing with | |
| | | | a structured and | |
| | | Revised research | persuasive argument | |
| | | | Learn to take constructive criticism | |
| | | paper | from different sources | |
| | | | | |
| | | | and improve the original writing in argument, | |
| | | | structure, writing and | |
| | | | citations | |
| | | | CICACIONS | |

| | | Book outlines | Dissect argument and evidence in a monograph | |
|-----|------------------------|--|---|---|
| 346 | Recent U.S. History | Written reflection based oral history project | Self-analysis of project | Textbook, monographs, primary sources memoir, book |
| | | Culminating essay | Synthesis of monographs, primary sources, and lecture | chapter, film, articles |
| | | Exam essays | Synthesize historical information; interpret and analyze historical trends based on primary sources | |
| | | Group audio- visual project | Extrapolate and communicate in-depth content through PowerPoint slides | |
| | | Current events essay | Low-stakes introduction to historiographical analysis and its relevance to the present | |
| | | Historical research analysis | Demonstrate synthetic and evaluative skills in placing a particular event within a historical narrative | |
| | | Identifications, short and long exam essays | Identify key information, synthesize, and apply learned material to a hypothetical situation; build a historical argument from assigned reading | |
| | | Primary source analysis | Analyze primary sources | |
| | | Book and interview essay | Compare and contrast human experiences in the context of post-1945 U.S. history | |
| | | 5-8 page research essay | Select a topic, frame a question, demonstrate research | |

| | | Short and long essays on book quizzes Issue analyses Short reading | Analyze a memoir as historical evidence Identify thematic issues to analyze cause and effect Reflect on readings in | |
|-----|--|--|---|---|
| | | responses | preparation for class discussion | |
| 348 | Top-Secret America: The Rise and Reach | Culminating essay | Synthesis of monographs, primary sources, and lecture | Textbook, monographs, primary sources |
| | of the National Security State | Group audio- visual project | Extrapolate and communicate in-depth content through PowerPoint slides | memoir, book chapter, film, articles |
| | | Current events essay | Low-stakes introduction to historiographical analysis and its relevance to the present | |
| | | Historical research analysis | Demonstrate synthetic and evaluative skills in placing a particular event within a historical narrative | |
| | | Primary source analysis | Analyze primary sources | |
| 360 | History of Pennsylvania | Directed question bi- weekly | Assessment of course content knowledge | Historical monographs |
| | | discussion boards | Develop information literacy skills | Scholarly articles (various professions – |
| | | Primary source analysis activities (multi- | Synthesize historical information | "traditional" history, Pennsylvania |
| | | formats) | Develop research skills | history, public history) |
| | | Secondary source analysis activities (multi- | Understand and assess different forms of writing | Popular articles |
| | | formats) | Develop critical thinking/clear writing skills | Primary sources (multiple formats) |

| | | Review essay of course assigned monograph Short research "paper" (1500 words). Sometimes presented as "traditional" research paper; more often public-consumption oriented, such as creating a "chapter/story" as may be found on Explorepahistor y.com | Create concise, well-researched information to a broad audience | |
|-----|--|--|--|---|
| 363 | Thought and Culture in early America: c. 1600 to 1865 | Book reviews Analytical essays | Identify questions, theses, and evidence in works of history Thematic analysis based | Novel, lengthy primary source, monographs |
| | | on novel and primary source Historical | on specific questions Summarize research | |
| | | research activity | findings to accompany oral presentation | |
| 365 | History of Black America since | Book review | Critical analysis | Textbook, monograph, |
| | Emancipation | Contemporary project | Relate contemporary issue to its historical context | primary sources |
| | | Short-answer exam questions | Synthesize historical information | |
| | | Short-answer exam questions | Compare and contrast historical terms | |
| 369 | Women in America | Analytical essays on primary and visual sources | Analyze primary sources | Textbook, primary sources, monographs |

| | | Book essays | Identify questions, theses, and evidence in works of history | |
|-----|---|--|---|---|
| | | Book reviews | Critically assess a scholarly work | |
| 374 | Blind Pigs and Brothels: A History of Crime | Directed question bi- weekly | Assessment of course content knowledge | Historical monographs |
| | and Vice in America | discussion boards | Develop information literacy skills | Scholarly articles (various professions – |
| | | Primary source analysis activities | Synthesize historical information | "traditional" history, Pennsylvania |
| | | (government documents) | Develop research skills Understand and assess | history – project always involves Pennsylvania -, |
| | | Review essay of course assigned | different forms of writing | public history) |
| | | monograph | Develop critical thinking/clear writing skills | Popular articles Primary sources |
| | | | Demonstrate ability to | (multiple formats) |
| | | | craft an argument and use credible sources appropriately to sustain that argument | |
| 385 | People in Nature: Introduction to | Online discussions | Practice coherent short essays and responsibility for discussion | Short textbooks, scholarly articles and chapters, |
| | Environmental History | Midterm and final exam questions | Synthesize historical information | monographs |
| | | Comparative book review Research project | Compare and contrast historical analysis Conduct all steps leading | |
| | | | up to draft of a research paper | |
| 395 | Introduction to Historical | Analysis paper | Literature review based on research topic | Textbook, articles |
| | Methods | Book review | Construct a standard book review | |
| | | Media review | | |

| | | 1 | | |
|---------|-------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------|
| | | Out of class work | Analysis of visual sources, | |
| | | | documentaries, articles | |
| | | In class work | Group writing on a | |
| | | | particular topic based on | |
| | | | articles and chapters | |
| | | In class work | Group writing on a | |
| | | | particular topic based on | |
| | | | articles and chapters | |
| Upon co | mpleting 300-leve | el courses, History | and Social Studies Educati | on majors |
| | | | critical analysis of primary | |
| | | | al query informed by resea | |
| | | | gnificant bodies of historic | |
| 420 | Introduction to | Reflective book | Prove proficiency and | Textbook, |
| | public history | essays | critically assess an | monographs, |
| | | _ | argument | primary sources |
| | | Synthesis paper | Identify larger patterns of | |
| | | | analysis in a textbook | |
| | | Class project | Learn to collaborate and | |
| | | , | synthesize archival | |
| | | | sources | |
| | | Reading | Identify and analyze | |
| | | responses | major concepts from | |
| | | | reading; connect various | |
| | | | readings to one another | |
| 421 | Archival Studies | Directed | 3 | |
| | | question bi- | Assessment of course | Monographs |
| | | weekly | content knowledge | 0 1 |
| | | discussion | | Scholarly articles |
| | | boards | Develop information | (various |
| | | | literacy skills | professions - |
| | | Primary source | , and the second | "traditional" |
| | | analysis | Synthesize historical | history, public |
| | | activities (multi- | information | history) |
| | | formatted | | |
| | | archival | Synthesize professional | Profession- |
| | | materials) | information/ethics/stand | specific |
| | | , | ards/concepts | materials |
| | | Review essay of | , , | (textbooks, |
| | | course assigned | Develop research skills | webinars, |
| | | monograph | <u> </u> | journals, trade |
| | | | Understand and assess | publications) |
| | | NARA Citizen | different forms of writing | |
| | | Archivist | | Popular articles |
| | | assignment | | 1 |
| | | U A A | | |

| | | Digital archives assessment Archival project - process a small collection and in doing so craft content for professional documentation - from accession record through finding aid; involves creation of collection representation through ArchivesSpace | Develop critical thinking/clear writing skills Explore, understand, and develop professional skills – written, verbal, and digital Practice and demonstrate proficiency in professional writing | Primary sources (multiple formats) |
|-----|---|--|--|---|
| 422 | History Museums and Historic Sites: Theory and Practice | Directed question bi- weekly discussion boards Primary source analysis activities (government documents) Review essay of course assigned monograph Museum visitation reflection Historic site visitation reflection Digital museum exhibit reflection | Assessment of course content knowledge Develop information literacy skills Synthesize historical information Synthesize professional information/ethics/stand ards/concepts Develop research skills Understand and assess different forms of writing Develop critical thinking/clear writing skills Explore, understand, and develop professional | Monographs Scholarly articles (various professions – "traditional" history, public history) Profession-specific materials (textbooks, webinars, journals, trade publications) Popular articles Primary sources (multiple formats) |

| | | Series of profession related assignments: object cataloguing through PastPerfect; preservation assessment; exhibit label Exhibit brief (group assignment, not always assigned) | skills – written, verbal, and digital Practice and demonstrate proficiency in professional writing | |
|-----|------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|
| | | Oral history writing reflection | Self-analysis of project | |
| | | Analysis papers | Analyze historical change | |
| 433 | Late Imperial China | Book review | Analyze a longer historical argument | Textbook, monograph, |
| | | Research paper | Demonstrate research and synthesis in history | short stories |
| | | Book essays | Analyze longer historical arguments | |
| 434 | Modern China, 1800 to the | Book review | Analyze a longer historical argument | Textbook, monograph, |
| | Present | Research paper | Demonstrate research and synthesis in history | short stories |
| | | Book essays | Analyze longer historical arguments | |
| 436 | Japan, 1500 to 1850 | Book review | Analyze a longer historical argument | Textbook, monograph, |
| | | Research paper | Demonstrate research and synthesis in history | short stories |
| | | Book essays | Analyze longer historical arguments | |
| 437 | | Research paper (optional) | Demonstrate research and synthesis in history | |

| | Modern Japan, | Final exam | Synthesize historical | Short textbook, |
|-----|-------------------|------------------|--|-------------------|
| | 1850 to the | questions | information | novel, |
| | Present | Exams | Verify reading and | monograph |
| | | | demonstrate | |
| | | | understanding of author's | |
| | | | arguments; analyze | |
| | | | different approaches of | |
| | | | historical analysis and | |
| | | | writing | |
| 475 | History of | Research project | Identify viable and | Monographs, |
| | American | | appropriate historical | sourcebook |
| | Constitutionalis | | question for research; | |
| | m | | learn to conduct research | |
| | | | by using diverse primary | |
| | | | and secondary sources; | |
| | | | learn to put research | |
| | | | sources together and | |
| | | | revise the first draft | |
| | | Weekly | Identify important | |
| | | discussion | information from | |
| | | questions | readings | |
| 495 | Topics in U.S. | Reading notes | Identify arguments and | Short textbook, |
| | History: | | principal evidence | monographs, |
| | Becoming | Preliminary | Plan a research project | scholarly article |
| | American: | topic proposal | according to specific | |
| | Immigration and | , | criteria | |
| | Citizenship in | Annotated | Learn to effectively vet | |
| | the United States | bibliography | sources | |
| | | Expanded paper | Develop a framework for | |
| | | outline | final essay | |
| | | First draft of | Facilitate a longer writing | |
| | | research paper | project | |
| | | Final draft of | Incorporate revision and | |
| | | research paper | editing into writing | |
| | | Door aditing | process Low stakes writing to | |
| | | Peer editing | Low-stakes writing to provide feedback | |
| | | Reading | Provide reedback Provide proficiency in | |
| | | reflections | course competency | |
| 495 | Topics in U.S. | Progress | Approach research as a | Monograph, |
| 173 | History: | assignments/ste | multi-step project | primary sources |
| | American Mafia | p assignments | maid step project | primary sources |
| | Timorican Piana | Research paper | Demonstrate research | |
| | | nescaren paper | and analysis | |
| | | | ana anaiysis | |

| | | Portions of research paper | Topic development and writing exercises preparatory to writing a research paper | |
|-----|--|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 495 | Topics in U.S. History: U.S. Women and World War II: Film and History | Final research paper | Demonstrate research and synthesis in history | Monographs, articles |
| | | Peer editing | Low-stakes learning to write through editing | |
| | | Reading journals | Verify reading and demonstrate understanding of author's arguments; analyze different approaches of historical analysis and writing | |
| 495 | Topics in U.S. History: Making Citizens: The Contested History of American Citizenship | Research project | Identify viable and appropriate historical question for research; learn to conduct research by using diverse primary and secondary sources; learn to put research sources together and revise the first draft | Monographs, articles |
| | | Paper proposal | Justify and ask research questions about a topic | |
| 496 | Topics in European History: | Annotated bibliography | Discover and engage with historiography related to a research topic | Short textbook, sourcebook |
| | Consumer Society in Contemporary | First draft of research paper | Develop arguments and evidence in extended essay | |
| | Europe | Final draft of | Revise extended research | |
| | | research paper Discussion | Practice framing | |
| | | questions | provocative discussion questions and managing a group | |
| | | Short reports | WTC based on a broad question. | |
| 496 | Topics in | Weekly writing | WTC as responses to | |
| | European | assignments | assigned reading and | |

| | Γ | 1 | T | 1 |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | History: | | preliminary steps leading | Edited volume, |
| | Gladiators and | | to research paper | primary sources, |
| | Martyrs in the | Research paper | Draft and revise extended | articles |
| Arena | | research paper. | - | |
| | | Topic statement | Justify a topic | |
| 498 | Topics in | Article review | Analyze a shorter | Monographs, |
| | Comparative | | historical argument | memoir |
| | History: World | Book review | Analyze a longer | |
| | War Two in Asia | | historical argument | |
| | | Chunk of paper | Provide sample writing | |
| | | Research paper | Demonstrate research | |
| | | | and synthesis in history | |
| | | Historical | Demonstrate synthesis | |
| | | research | and evaluation in placing | |
| | | analysis | a particular event within | |
| | | - | a historical narrative | |
| 498 | Topics in | Research project | Extrapolate and | Monographs, |
| | Comparative | presentation | communicate in-depth | primary source |
| | History: | Presentence | content through | reader |
| | National | | PowerPoint slides | reader |
| | Security and | | 1 ower ome shaes | |
| | Fear during the | Research project | Extrapolate and | |
| | Cold War and | presentation | communicate in-depth | |
| | beyond | | content through | |
| | beyond | | PowerPoint slides | |
| should review pertine | demonstrate the for s and essays; select | ollowing writing sl t and justify pertin | in History and Social Studi kills: critically assess sour ent research topics; locate analysis, and narration in | ces in book and annotate |
| EDUC | Pre-Student | Lesson plans | Structure instructional | Articles in |
| 242 | Teaching Clinical | | presentation within a | professional |
| | Experience I | | curricular and | journals |
| | | | chronological timeframe | , |
| | | Field report | Compile educational | - |
| | | Tield report | methodology from doing | |
| | | | public school | |
| | | | observations; evaluate | |
| | | | | |
| | | | and synthesize based on | |
| | | LineTreet contrib | best practices | - |
| | | LiveText entries | Demonstrate mastery of | |
| | | | educational standards by | |
| | | | offering a rationale for | |
| | | 1 | submitted artifacts | 1 |

| | | Reading responses | Reflect on pedagogical readings | |
|-------------|---|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| EDUC 342 | Pre-Student Teaching Clinical Experience II | Lesson plans | Structure instructional presentation within a curricular and chronological timeframe | Articles in professional journals |
| | | Field report | Compile educational methodology from doing public school observations; evaluate and synthesize based on best practices | |
| | | LiveText portfolio | Demonstrate mastery of educational standards by offering a rationale for submitted artifacts | |
| | | Reading responses | Reflect on pedagogical readings | |
| EDUC 455 | Teaching Social Studies in Secondary Schools | Lesson plans | Structure instructional presentation within a curricular and chronological timeframe | Articles in professional journals |
| | | Teacher work sample | Detailed unit plan that demonstrates mastery of educational standards | |
| | | LiveText entries | Demonstrate mastery of educational standards by offering a rationale for submitted artifacts | |
| | | LiveText entries | Demonstrate mastery of educational standards by offering a rationale for submitted artifacts | |

Appendix A: Assessment Protocol and Rubric

At the end of each semester, faculty teaching Topics (HIST 496/7/8) courses will assess the final research papers from their own section using the rubric below. Data will be collected and transmitted to the WAC coordinator. Following an initial assessment in Fall 2017, the ad hoc writing committee will determine whether adjustments should be made to the assessment rubric.

| | Exceptional = 4 | Meets Expectations = 3 | Developing = 2 | Poor = 1 |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Organization/ Structure | 1. Strong thesis 2. Organization is logical and highly persuasive 3. Intro, body, and conclusion present and highly complementa ry | 1. Acceptable thesis 2. Organization is logical and persuasive 3. Intro, body, and conclusion present and complementary | 1. Unclear thesis 2. Organization is defined but not persuasive 3. Intro, body, conclusion present but disjointed | 1. Weak or no thesis 2. Little organization evident 3. Lacks clear structure |
| Sources | 1. Has many kinds of primary and secondary sources 2. Sources are abundant 3. All sources are pertinent and appropriate | 1. Several different kinds of primary and secondary sources. 2. Sources are sufficient 3. Most sources are pertinent and appropriate | 1. A few types of primary and secondary sources 2. Sources are barely sufficient 3. Some sources are pertinent and appropriate | 1. Little to no variety in types of primary and secondary sources 2. Sources are insufficient 3. Many sources are not pertinent or appropriate |
| Evidence: Quality & Quantity | 1. Evidence is appropriate to argument 2. Evidence is abundant | 1. Evidence is largely appropriate to argument. 2. Evidence is sufficient. | 1. Evidence is somewhat appropriate to argument 2. Evidence is barely sufficient | 1. Evidence is not appropriate to argument 2. Evidence is insufficient |

| Analysis: Quality & Quantity | 3. Acknowledge s counterevidence as appropriate 1. Concrete detail used engagingly to form a cohesive argument 2. Draws reader to position 3. Ideas clearly presented 4. Compelling 5. Balance of narration and analysis is effective and appropriate to topic | 3. Some acknowledgeme nt of counterevidence 1. Concrete detail systematically builds argument 2. Persuades reader 3. Develops clear ties between analysis and thesis 4. Balance of narration and analysis is appropriate to topic | 3. Little acknowledgeme nt of counter- evidence 1. Concrete detail supports thesis and is relevant 2. Somewhat convincing 3. Appropriate to topic 4. Adequate balance between narration and analysis | 3. No acknowledgeme nt of counter- evidence 1. Concrete detail is weak, does not support thesis or even contradicts thesis. 2. Little or no analysis |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Historiograph y/ Summary of pertinent research | Effectively discusses main arguments in scholarly literature | Largely discusses main arguments in scholarly literature | Inconsistently discusses main arguments in scholarly literature | Scholarly literature insufficiently or incoherently treated |
| Mechanics: Grammar, Punctuation, Spelling | 1. Shows careful editing and revising 2. Few errors throughout the paper. | 1. Shows some editing and revising 2. Some errors, but generally do not interfere with readability | 1. Insufficient editing and revising 2. Mechanical errors interfere with readability | 1. Little to no editing or revising 2. Many mechanical errors |
| Quotations and Citations | 1. Correct format with few or no errors 2. Effective and varied | 1. Largely correct format with some errors 2. Adequate use of quotations | 1. Errors with format that are distracting to reader | 1. Many format errors 2. Poor or non-existent use of quotations |

| use of | | 2. Barely | |
|-----------|---|-----------------|--|
| quotation | S | adequate use of | |
| | | quotations | |

Appendix B: Assessment Results

The Fall 2017 results are considered "baseline" survey results from the first assessment of 27 student writing samples from two 400-level History courses.

Areas in which student writing is ABOVE expectations: 77+

Criterion 1: Organization/Structure

| year(s) | exceeds/meets | emerging/below |
|---------|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| 2021 | 100% (59%/41%) | 0 |
| 2017 | 89% (19% / 70%) | 11% (7% / 4%) |

Criterion 2: Sources

| year(s) | exceeds/meets | emerging/below |
|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2021 | 82% (10% / 72%) | 17% (17% / 0) |
| 2017 | 67% (26% / 41%) | 33% (26% / 7%) |

Criterion 4: Analysis

| year(s) | exceeds/meets | emerging/below |
|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2021 | 83% (52% / 31%) | 17% (14% / 3%) |
| 2017 | 74% (26% / 48%) | 26% (26% / 0%) |

Criterion 6: Mechanics

| year(s) | exceeds/meets | emerging/below |
|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2021 | 90% (52% / 38%) | 10% (10% / 0) |
| 2017 | 85% (44% / 41%) | 15% (11% / 4%) |

Criterion 7: Quotations/Citations

| year(s) | exceeds/meets | emerging/below |
|---------|---------------|----------------|
| | | |

| 2021 | 94% (32% / 62%) | 6% (6% / 0) |
|------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2017 | 78% (33% / 44%) | 22% (22% / 0%) |

Areas in which student writing is MEETING expectations: 68-77

Criterion 3: Evidence

| year(s) | exceeds/meets | emerging/below |
|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2021 | 76% (31% / 45%) | 24% (24% / 0%) |
| 2017 | 70% (19% / 52%) | 30% (30% / 0%) |

Areas in which student writing is BELOW expectations below 67 and below

Criterion 5: Historiography

| year(s) | exceeds/meets | emerging/below |
|---------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| 2021 | 66% (14% / 52%) | 34% (34% / 0) |
| 2017 | 41% (11% / 30%) | 59% (37% / 22%) |

Discussion of Assessment Results with Recommendations, by the WAC Director 2021

Based on assessment results of the current curriculum in History, students are *exceeding expectations* for writing in five of seven criteria and *meeting expectations* in another one. In almost all criteria, the highest percentage of students are *meeting expectations* (only in "Mechanics" and "Analysis" did the highest percentage *exceed expectations*). In two areas, Sources and Analysis, scores were raised from *meeting* to *exceeding expectations*.

The student success in writing is most likely due to the amount of writing students are expected to do throughout any program track in this major, as evidenced in curriculum map shown above; the department should be applauded for its commitment to ensuring student success in writing.

Ideally, as seniors graduate from programs at IUP, we'd like the majority of them to exceed expectations in most or all criteria. In particular, faculty in History could look at criteria like "Sources," "Quotations," and "Historiography," where more than half of the students are meeting expectations rather than exceeding. These, too, are concepts that could be scaffolded earlier in students' coursework, and by encouraging students to go to the Writing Center. The Writing Center or WAC program could also facilitate professional development for faculty who are interested in developing ways to teach these concepts specifically in the context of their discipline.

2017

Based on assessment results of the current curriculum in History (before formal WAC curriculum has been implemented) students are *exceeding expectations* for writing in three of seven criteria and *meeting expectations* in another three. In almost all criteria, the highest percentage of students are *meeting expectations* (only in "Mechanics" did the highest percentage *exceed expectations*). The student success in writing is most likely due to the amount of writing students are expected to do throughout any program track in this major, as evidenced in curriculum map shown above; the department should be applauded for its commitment to ensuring student success in writing.

Importantly, students met or exceeded expectations in rhetorical skills (those we consider writing-related) such as organizing their essays, using quotations and evidence, and mechanics; however, most of the writing samples in Criterion 5: Historiography were rated as *emerging* (total "emerging/below expectations" = 59%). Over the next two years (until the next writing assessment), faculty might discuss ways to enrich more of their courses and scaffold earlier coursework (200-level) with discussion of the meaning, importance, and practice of historiography. As a group, the department could develop a sequence for how historiography is taught throughout the major tracks so that by senior year, students are more confidently evidencing its application.

Ideally, as seniors graduate from programs at IUP, we'd like the majority of them to exceed expectations in most or all criteria. In particular, faculty in History could look at criteria like "Organization" and "Evidence," where more than half of the students are meeting expectations rather than exceeding. These, too, are concepts that could be scaffolded earlier in students' coursework, and by encouraging students to go to the Writing Center. The Writing Center or WAC program could also facilitate professional development for faculty who are interested in developing ways to teach these concepts specifically in the context of their discipline.