Undergraduate Distance Education Review Form (Required for all courses taught by distance education for more than one-third of teaching contact hours)

Existing and Special Topics Course

Course	e: <u>SAFE 420/520 Law t Ethics in</u> ctor of Record: <u>Bob Soule</u> phone:	n the Safe	Ly Profession		
Instru	ctor of Record: <u>Bob Soule</u> phone:	e-mail:	<u> Loule lip</u> edu		
Step One: Department or its Curriculum Committee The committee has reviewed the proposal to offer the above course using distance education technology, and responds to the CBA criteria as follows:					
1.	Will a qualified instructor teach the course?	Yes	No		
2.	Will the technology serve as a suitable substitute for the traditional classroom?	Yes	No		
3.	Are there suitable opportunities for interaction between the instructor and student?	Yes	No		
4.	a. Will there be suitable methods used to evaluate student achievement?	Yes	No		
	b. Have reasonable efforts been made to insure the integrity of evaluation methods (academic honesty)	Yes	No		
5.	Recommendation: Positive (The objectives of the course can be	met via distar	ice education.)		
	Negative signature of depa	rtment designee	date		
Liberal courses	ve recommendation, immediately forward copies of this form and a Studies Office for consideration by the University-Wide Undergrac also require review by Graduate Committee for graduate-level offe curriculum committee, 2) dean of the college, and 3) Dean of the Sc	duate Curricului ering. Send info	n Committee. Dual-level rmation copies to 1) the		
Step T	wo: UNIVERSITY-WIDE UNDERGRADUATE CU	RRICULUM	COMMITTEE		
<u>X</u> 1	Negative recommendation Negative recommendation Proposal Wincomplete—will to this form to the Provost within 24 calendar days after review by the provost within 24 calendar days after the provost with	chust mittee chair committee.	4-13-04 Hed in fall.		
	Three: Provost Approved as distance education course Rejected as distance education course signature of Prov	rost	4/16/09 date		
		·			

Step Four:

Forward materials to Dean of the School of Continuing Education.

GRADUATE CURRICULUM AUTHORIZATION FORM Check As Appropriate: Level I New Program O Program Revision O or Level II ⇒ Degree Program Revision O New/Revised COR o or Level III Minor Program Revision Application for COR Renewal Deletion Courseo or Track o or Minor o or Program o Variability in Program Delivery **Program Reactivation** New Course ⇒ Enter CIP Code (Contact Registrar's Office): 150701 Major Course Revision Minor Course Revision **Dual-Level or Cross Listing** Distance Education **Description of Proposal** SAFE 420/520 Law and Ethics in the Safety Profession Department: Safety Sciences Author or Contact Person: Bob Soule bsoule@iup.edu SIGNATURES OF APPROVAL **Academic Integrity** Resource Implications^T Date Department Curriculum Committee Chair: Department Chair: TECC Chair: College Curriculum Committee Chair: 02)23/04 College Dean: Graduate Dean: Provost: Graduate Committee Chair COMMENTS:

To sign off on resource implications, confirm that detailed justification of resource sufficiency has been made and approved

Graduate Distance Education Course Approval Questionnaire

(Attach to course proposal)

Course:

SAFE 420/520 Law and Ethics in the Safety Profession

3sh

Instructor of Record:

Dr. Bob Soule

Criteria used for Department review of course format as listed under the CBA Article 42, Section B, 2.

1. Will a qualified instructor teach the course?

Dr. Bob Soule will be an instructor of this class. Dr. Soule is a Professor with over	years of teaching
experience in the Safety Sciences Department at IUP. Prior to IUP, Dr. Soule	
	A resume
for Dr. Soule is attached in Appendix B.	

2. Will the technology serve as a suitable substitute for the traditional classroom?

Distance education technologies (the world-wide-web, video, audio, and printed media) have been compared to traditional classroom instruction. The research consistently shows that the quality of the course and student outcomes is not affected by the media. They are however affected by the activities and interactions built into the course experiences.

This course will use the following format to achieve the best balance for web based learning:

- Constructive activities embedded within the course notes.
- Students will also be required to actively participate in on-line discussions. There will be questions embedded in the notes as well as weekly postings by the instructor. Students will critique each other's work and the professor will manage the discussion.
- Students will participate in live chat room discussions within groups assigned to work on collaborative projects.
- Instructor will be available at regular times for contact via telephone, email, or chat room.

3. Are there suitable opportunities for interaction between the instructor and student?

As mentioned above, there will be several on-going opportunities for the student to interact with the instructor. These include interaction through the use of a course bulletin board, email, chat room, and telephone contacts. Many of the assignments discussed below require student interaction with both the instructor and fellow students.

4. Will there be suitable methods used to evaluate student achievement?

Multiple assessment techniques will be used to evaluate student achievement. They will include at a minimum the following:

- Timed tests using WebCT with password protection
- Weekly assignments posted to the bulletin board
- Comprehensive collaborative projects
- Individual projects assigned to students and submitted via email
- Chat room contributions by students
- Chapter summaries and synthesizing of content, posted to the database

5. Describe the evaluation methods to be used?

Several different evaluation methodologies will be used to evaluate this course. The instructor will be evaluated following the criteria outlined in Articles 12 and 42 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA). Both the Student Evaluation Instrument for Distance Learning and the Student Evaluation of Technology for Web Based (on-line) Courses, which were approved by Meet and Discuss on March 18, 1998, will be used to evaluate the class.

The Student Evaluation of Technology for Web-Based (on-line) Courses form is specifically directed at the evaluation of technology. Research studies on web-based courses show a direct correlation between student satisfaction and the effectiveness of the technology used in the course. Therefore, an important part of the evaluation of this course is the evaluation of the technology.

The second form, Student Evaluation Instrument for Distance Learning, has five separate sections. Section B "About the Course" and Section C "Student Satisfaction" are specifically directed at course items such as grading, course objectives, workload, and student learning.

This individual course will also be evaluated internally by faculty and externally by the Safety Sciences Advisory Panel. The advisory panel meets on a semiannual basis and one of their responsibilities is evaluation of courses and overall programs. This committee is composed of twenty safety, health, and educational professionals, including four IUP faculty from outside the department.

Graduate Distance Education Review Form

(Required for all courses taught by distance education for more than one-third of teaching contact hours)

Existing and Special Topics Course

TITI	LE of PROPOSAL: <u>SAFE 420/520 Law and Et</u>	hics in the Safety Profession	on	
DEP.	ARTMENT: Safety Sciences	PERSON: Bob Soule		
The c	One: Department or its Curriculum Committee ommittee has reviewed the proposal to offer the abounds to the CBA criteria as follows:	e ve course using distance educ	cation technology, and	
1.	Will an instructor who is qualified in the dista- education delivery method as well as the discip- teach the course?		No	
2.	Will the technology serve as a suitable substitutor for the traditional classroom?	ıte _ <u>X</u> Yes	No	
3.	Are there suitable opportunities for interaction between the instructor and student?	n _X Yes	No	
4.	a. Will there be suitable methods used to eval student achievement?	luate _X Yes	No	
	b. Have reasonable efforts been made to insuintegrity of evaluation methods (academic)		No	
5.	Recommendation: X Positive (The objectives of the course can be met via distance education.)			
	Negative Signature of de	epartment designee	7/27/04 date	
and (offeri of Gr	sitive recommendation, immediately forward cop Graduate Committee. Dual-level courses also rec ing. Send information copies to 1) dean of the co aduate School and Research. ard this form to the Provost within 24 calendar	quire review by UWUCC follege, 2) Dean of Continui	for undergraduate-level ng Education and 3) Dean	
Step '	Two: GRADUATE COMMITTEE			
	Positive recommendation			
	Negative recommendation			
	signature o	of committee chair	date	
Forw	ard this form to the Provost within 24 calendar	days after review by comn	nittee.	

Approved as distance education course		
Rejected as distance education course	signature of Provost	date
Step Four:		

Forward materials to Dean of the School of Continuing Education, who will inform appropriate office