LS	C Use Only No: LSC Action-Da	nte: UWUCC USE Only No. UW	UCC Action-Date:	Senate Action Date:			
		09-38b. R-121	8/09	APP-4/20/10			
Curriculum Proposal Cover Sheet - University-Wide Undergraduate Curriculum Committee							
Co							
Du	Jack Scandrett		jscandt@iup.e	du			
Pro	oposing Department/Unit Music		7- 4814				
Check all appropriate lines and complete information as requested. Use a separate cover sheet for each course proposal and for each program proposal.							
1.	Course Proposals (check all that app						
	New Course	Course Prefix Change	Course D				
	X Course Revision	Course Number and/or Title Change	<u>X</u> Catalog I	Description Change			
MUSC 240 Technology in the Music Classroom							
	Current Course prefix, number and full title	<u>Proposed</u> course pre	fix, number and full title,	if changing			
2.	Additional Course Designations: che This course is also proposed as This course is also proposed as	a Liberal Studies Course.	_ Other: (e.g., Wome Pan-African)	n's Studies,			
3.	Program Proposals	Catalog Description Change	Progra	am Revision			
	New Degree Program	Program Title Change	Other				
	New Minor Program	New Track					
	Current program name	<u>Proposed</u> program n	ame, if changing				
4.	Approvals	1. /	,	Date			
De	partment Curriculum Committee Chair(s)	Mindallo		9/30/09			
	Department Chair(s)	Jack Slange		9/30/09			
	College Curriculum Committee Chair	Buldone.		9/30/09			
	College Dean	Michael & Mr.	C GQ	9/30/09			
	Director of Liberal Studies *		2				
	Director of Honors College *						
	Provost *						
	Additional signatures as appropriate:  (include title)	Jacophodomurach 7	ECC Chair	11-2-09			
	(merade tide)	Mary Um Kafoth	Dean COE	-8711-3-09			
	UWUCC Co-Chairs	1900		4/9/10			
	* where applicable	Gay o dechus		7////			
		Received		Received			

FEB 2 2010

37 03 2009

# **Syllabus of Record**

### I. Catalog Description

MUSC 240 Technology in the Music Classroom 2 lecture hours

1 lab hour 2 credits

Prerequisite: None

(2c-11-2cr)

Introduces the technology resources available for use in the music classroom and the instructional technologies appropriate for application in K-12 settings including applications for students with disabilities. Students will be exposed to a variety of media and will have the opportunity to gain familiarity in their use. Emphasizes the use of the computer in the music classroom, web-based technologies, and music software.

## II. Course Objectives

Upon completion of this course the student will:

- 1. acquire the basic knowledge and skills needed to select, design and utilize the appropriate media technology available and to demonstrate this knowledge by developing a classroom presentation incorporating this technology. I.E, II.B, C.
- 2. demonstrate proficiency of the use of notation software, MIDI, and music accompaniment software by creating projects utilizing the various technologies. I.D, E.
- 3. demonstrate an understanding of the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) National Standards for Teachers by preparing an electronic portfolio to be used to store, display and reflect upon your work in music education. I. D, E, II. B, C, D, III. B.
- 4. gain experience in using web based tools and resources and demonstrate their application to teaching and learning in the music classroom by preparing a proposal for incorporating technology in the classroom. I. E.
- 5. examine the use of technology to assist students with disabilities in the music classroom by preparing a lesson plan for students with disabilities. I. E, II. A, B, C, D
- 6. demonstrate an understanding of the role of technology in music education, its present use and future applications in a three page philosophy statement. I. E, II. B, C, D, III. C

Danielson Domains	Discipline Specific Standard/Program Objective	Course Objective	Course Assessment Measuring Objective*
I, II, III	I.E, II.B, C.	1	Final project / presentation
I, III	I.D, E.	2	Create score using various software & Midi files
I, III, IV	I. D, E, II. B, D, III.B.	3	Submit ePortfolio with Step I (music) requirements
	II. C		ISTE NETS•T 4b (lesson plan)
			Customize and personalize learning activities to address students with disabilities using digital tools and resources.
II, III	I. E.	4	Prepare Technology Proposal
I, II, III	I. E., II. A, B, C, D.	5	Prepare Lesson Plan for students with disabilities (ISTE NETS•T 4b)
I, IV	I.E, II.B, C, D, III.C.	6	Philosophy Statement

KARS Assessments in Boldface

### III. Course Outline

I. Introduction

4 hrs.

- A. Computer basics; Operating Systems (Mac & Windows OS)
- B. File keeping; Project Drive
- C. Classroom technology needs for K-12 Group Project assignment (2 hrs)

## II. Notation Processors/Accompaniments

10 hrs.

A. Finale

Chorale assignment

B. Sibelius

Hymn arrangement

C. SongWorks

Folksong

C. Band-in-a-Box

Folksong arrangement

C. SmartMusic

## III. Technology for Student Assessment

A. A. 1'.

4 hrs.

A. Audio

B. Video

Record lesson/performance (2 hrs.)

IV. Students with Disabilities

6 hrs.

- A. Assistive Technologies (Internet Special Education Resources)
- B. Music Software for Sight Impaired (RNIB)
- C. Alfred Tomatis (Sound-Remedies.com)

Group project: Prepare lesson plan (4 hrs)

V. Emerging Technologies

4 hrs.

- A. Wikis, Blogs & Podcasts
- B. Personal handheld devices
  - iPods, iPhones, MP3 players
- C. Video Conferencing

VI. ePortfolios

8 hrs.

- A. HTML basics
- B. Editing webpages
- C. Techniques for inserting artifacts

Prepare ePortfolio (Special Learners 1 hr)

VII. Final Project

6 hrs.

- A. PowerPoint
- B. Planning

**Proposal** 

C. Preparing

Outline

Storyboards

Culminating Activity – Project presentations

2 hrs.

### IV. Evaluation Methods

- 25% The successful completion and presentation of a project appropriate for use in a K-12 music classroom that incorporates media technology. Grading will be dependent on the quality, creativity, and appropriateness for the classroom.
- 15% Completion of ePortfolio to meet requirements of Step I Teacher Certification, Music.
- 10% Philosophy Statement of the role of technology in music education.
- 30% Class assignments that occur during the term.
- 20% Class participation, including attendance at lecture and lab sessions.

# V. Grading Scale

Grading Scale: A: ≥90% B: 80-89% C: 70-79% D: 60-69% F: <60%

# VI. Attendance Policy

Although there is no formal attendance policy for this class, student learning is enhanced by regular attendance and participation in class discussions.

## VII. Required textbooks

**NONE** 

## Supplemental books and readings

Campbell, Don. (2004) <u>Listening</u>, <u>The Ear and Development: The Work of Dr. Alfred A. Tomatis</u> Retrieved April 17, 2009, from http://www.newhorizons.org/spneeds/inclusion/information/campbell\_d.htm

Farnan, Laurie E. and Faith L. Johnson. (1988) <u>Everyone Can Participate: Adapting Music Experiences for Students With Special Needs Retrieved April 17, 2009 from http://music.utsa.edu/tdml/conf-II/II-Farnan&Johnson.html</u>

Purse, Bill. (1998) The Finale Primer, Miller Freeman Books

Rudolph, Thomas. et al. (1997) <u>Technology Strategies for Music Education</u>, Technology Institute for Music Educators

Williams, David B. & Peter Webster. (1997) Experiencing Music Technology, Schirmer Books

#### Websites:

Assistive Technology / Special Education Software for Schools, Kids, and Adults with Special Needs, http://www.iser.com/special-needs-software.html

Horace Mann Educated Financial Solutions: Reach Every Child, http://www.reacheverychild.com/feature/special\_needs.html

Integrated Listening Systems (iLs), http://www.integratedlistening.com/

Royal National Institute of Blind People (RNIB), http://www.rnib.org.uk/xpedio/groups/public/documents/publicwebsite/public\_rnib003030.hcsp

#### VIII. Special resource requirements

USB Flashdrive

### IX. Bibliography

Knezek, Don. ed. (2008) <u>National Educational Technology Standards for Teachers</u> 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE)

McAnear, Anita. ed. (2003) nets•t Assessment: Resources for Assessment, International Society for Course Revision – MUSC 240

# Summary of the proposed revisions and Justification/rationale for the revision

- 1. Removal of Prerequisite, class is needed prior to entrance into Step One, Teacher Certification
- 2. Revised Catalog Description to account for changes in content and addition of section on Students with Disabilities
- 3. Revised Course Objectives also to account for changes in content and addition of section on Students with Disabilities
- 4. Revised Outcomes matrix and change in Key Assessments
- 5. Revised Course Outline to account for changes in content and addition of section on Students with Disabilities

Because this is a class dealing with current technology, change over time is inevitable. The revision not only updates the syllabus to account for these changes in technology, but also for the inclusion of material for working with Students with Disabilities as requested by the Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE).

# Syllabus of Record

I. Catalog Description

Introduces the technology resources available for use in the music classroom and with instructional technologies appropriate to their application in K-12 settings. Exposes a variety of media and provides the opportunity to gain familiarity in their use. Emphasizes the use of the computer in the classroom, computer-based instrument, and Music Instrument Digital Interface (MIDI).

MU 240 Technology in the Music Classroom 2 credits

2 lecture hours

1 lab hour

Prerequisite: 29 hrs., Permission

(2c-11-2cr)

Introduces the student to the technology resources available for use in the music classroom and with instructional technologies appropriate to their application in K-12 settings. Students will be exposed to a variety of media and will have the opportunity to gain familiarity in their use. Emphasis will be placed on the use of the computer in the classroom, Computer Based Instruction, and MIDI.

# II. Course Objectives

- 1. To become familiar with the technologies available for the music classroom.
- 2. To acquire the basic knowledge and skills needed to select, design and utilize the appropriate media technology available and to demonstrate this knowledge by developing a classroom presentation incorporating this technology.
- 3. To develop criteria for evaluating computer software for use in the music classroom and to demonstrate this by writing two software evaluation reports.
- 4. To become familiar with the use of sequencers, synthesizers, and MIDI.
- 5. To gain experience in using the World Wide Web and its application to teaching and learning in the music classroom.
- 6. To become acquainted with multi-media authoring and presentation software particularly as it applies to the music classroom.

#### III. Course Outline

- I. Introduction to Microcomputers (1 week)
  - a. Brief History
  - b. Basic Platforms
  - c. Apple-Mac
  - d. IBM-DOS-Windows
  - e. Computer literacy
  - f. Command structure

- g. GUI (Graphical User Interface)
- h. DOS (Disk Operating System)

## II. General Purpose Software (2 weeks)

- a. Word Processing
  - i. Advantages
  - ii. Editing capabilities
  - iii. Desktop Publishing
- b. Spreadsheet
  - i. Uses
  - ii. Calculations
  - iii. lists
- c. Data Base
  - i. Uses
  - ii. lists
  - iii. Calculations
- d. Integrated packages
  - i. Data sharing
  - ii. Paint & Drawing modules
  - iii. Communication modules
  - iv. MDA "Music Director's Assistant"
  - v. Uses
  - vi. Calendars
  - vii. Appointments
  - viii. Contacts

### III. Music Software (3 weeks)

- a. Music printing
  - i. Finale
  - ii. Nightingale
- b. Ear Training
  - i. Apple II TAP
  - ii. Practica Musica
  - iii. Claire
- c. Misc.
- IV. Keyboarding & Sequencing (2 weeks)
  - a. Musical Instrument Digital Interface (MIDI)
  - b. Sequencers
  - c. Hardware
  - d. Software
- V. Interactive & Multimedia software (3 weeks)
  - a. Authoring programs
    - i. HyperCard/Supercard
    - ii. MacroMind Director
  - b. Presentation software
- VI. Communications (1 week)

- a. E-mail
- b. Vax
- c. Kermit
- d. Internet
- e. Browsers
- f. Gopher
- g. Mosaic
- h. Netscape
- i. Hypertext
- i. HTML
- k. URL

# VII. Presentations (2 weeks)

#### IV. Evaluation Methods

35% The successful completion and presentation of a project appropriate for use in a K-12 music classroom that incorporates media technology. Grading will be dependent on the quality, creativity, and appropriateness for the classroom.

- 25% Project assignments that occur during the term.
- 20% Written reports on software evaluation
- 20% Class participation, including attendance at lecture and lab sessions.

Grade Distribution: 90-100% A; 80-89% B; 65-79% C; 55-64% D; 0-54% F

V. Required textbooks, supplemental books and readings

Beekman, G. (1992). HyperCard 2 in a Hurry. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, Inc...

Hofstetter, F. T. (1988). Computer Literacy for Musicians. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Webster, Peter R. and David B. Williams (1996). Experiencing Music Technology. New York, Schirmer Macmillan.

#### VI. Special resource requirements

This course will utilize the resources of the College of Fine Arts Computer Lab. Although this lab will be based on the Macintosh computer system and software, most applications and methods will not be platform specific. All software and hardware will be provided. Students wishing to use software other than that available in the FA Lab will need to supply their own equipment. Students will be required to save their own work either on floppy disks or Zip disks that they will supply.

Marchionini, G. (1988). Hypermedia and Learning: Freedom and Chaos. Educational Technology, 8-12.

O'Shea, T., & Self, J. (1983). Learning and Teaching with Computers; Artificial Intelligence in Educaton. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc..

Orwig, G. W. (1983). Creating Computer Programs for Learning. Reston, VA: Reston Publishing Company.

Park, I., & Hannafin, M. J. (1993). Empirically-Based Guidelines for the Design of Interactive Multimedia41. (pp. 63-85

Perkins, R. F., Davis, S. C., Van Sickle, M., & Welch, F. C. (June 1996). Encouraging Creativity in Preservice Teachers: Designing CAI with Hypermedia. Educational Media International, 33, 73-75.

Price, R. V. (1991). Computer-Aided Instruction: A Guide for Authors. Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.

Schwier, R. A., & Misanchuk, E. R. (1993). Interactive Multimedia Instruction. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Educational Technology Publications.

Skinner, B. F. (1954). The Science of Learning And the Art of Teaching. Harvard Educational Review, 24 (2),

Solomon, G. (April 1993). Teachers who use technology. Electronic Learning, 12 (7), S16(3).

Tjaden, B. J., & Martin, C. D. (May 1995). Learning Effects of CAI on College Students. Computers & Education, 24 (4), 271-277.

Travers, R. M. W. (1964). The Transmission of Information to Human Receivers. AVCR, 12 (4),

Tsai, C.-J. (1988). Hypertext: Technology, Application, and Research Issues. Educational Technology Sy stems, 17 (1),

Williams, D. B., & Bowers, D. R. (1986). Designing Computer-Based Instruction for Music and the Arts. Bellevue, WA: Temporal Acuity Press.

Wilson, S. (1995). World Wide Web Design Guide. Indianapolis Indiana: Hayden Books.

### VII. Bibliography

Adams, R. T. (1986). Electronic Music Composition for Beginners. Dubuque, Iowa: Wm. C. Brown.

Beekman, G. (1992). HyperCard 2 in a Hurry. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, Inc..

Bush, V. (July, 1945). As We May Think. The Atlantic Monthly,

Criswell, J. R. (Nov. 1989). Rethinking Microcomputer Instruction as Part of Teacher Education Reform. Educational Technology, 29, 40-43.

Fletcher-Flinn, C. M., & Gravatt, B. (1995). The Efficacy of Computer Assisted Instruction (CAI): a Meta-analysis. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 12 (3), 219-242.

Gagne, R. M., Wager, W., & Rojas, A. (September 1981). Planning and Authoring Computer-Assisted Instruction Lessons. Educational Technology, 21, 17-26.

Gias, G., & Carey, C. (Jan-Feb 1996). Students Teach the Teachers. Electronic Learning, 15 (4), 18(1).

Goodman, D. (1993). The Complete HyperCard 2.2 Handbook, 4th Edition. New York: Random House.

Hofstetter, F. T. (1988). Computer Literacy for Musicians. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Hunka, S. (Nov. 1989). Design Guidelines for CAI Authoring Systems. Educational Technology, 29, 12-17.

Jonassen, D. H. (1991). Objectivism versus Constructivsm: Do We Need a New Philosophical Paradigm? ETR&D, 39 (3), 5-14.

Junkala, J. (Jan. 1991). Creating CAI Courseware for College-Level Instruction: Almost Anyone Can Do It. Educational Technology, 31, 15-20.

Ketcham, S. (March-April 1996). Use Your Hiring power: How Principals can Force Education Schools to Address Technology. Electronic Learning, 15 (5), 24(2).

Kozma, R. B. (1991). Learning With Media. Review of Educational Research, 61 (2), 179-211.

Krol, E. (1992). The Whole Internet; User's Guide & Catalog. Sebastopol, CA.: O'Reilly & Associates, Inc..

Lebow, D. (1993). Constructivist Values for Instructional Systems Design: Five Principles Toward a New Mindset. ETR&D, 41 (3), 4-16.

Lucas, L. (1992). Interactivity: What Is It and How Do You Use It? Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia, 1, 7-10.