Numbe	ssion Date:Date:CURRICU		
II.	PROPOSAL TYPE (Check All Ap	opropriate Lines)	
	XCOURSE New Course* Course Revision		Suggested 20 character title Course Number and Full Title hing Clinical Experience II
			Course Number and Full Title
	Liberal Studies Approval- for new or existing co Course Deletion Number and/or Title Char	OUI'SE Course Numb	per and Full Title Course Number and Full Title Old Number and/or Full Old Title New Number and/or Full New Title
	Course or Catalog Descri	iption Change	Course Number and Full Title
III.	PROGRAM: New Program* Program Revision* Program Deletion* Title Change Approvals (signatures and date)	Major Minor	Program Name Program Name Old Program Name New Program Name
	Department Curriculum Committee John R Johnson College Curriculum Committee	Department Chair College Dean	glum 5

Part II. Description of Curriculum Change

Syllabus of Record

I. Catalog Description

ED342 Pre Student Teaching Clinical Experience II

var-1sh

Prerequisites: ED242 and admission to junior standing

Students work toward the development of specific competencies that relate to individual major fields of teaching.

II. Course Objectives/Outcomes

The student will:

A. Practice professional responsibility in a spirit of cooperation.

B. Exhibit enthusiasm for, and a personal involvement in teaching.

C. Demonstrate sensitivity to the needs of students.

D. Establish a positive environment for student expression.

E. Accept criticism and modify behavior accordingly.

F. Provide effective tutorial work with one student or a small group.

G. Exhibit competence in classroom instruction or demonstration of specific concepts.

H. Provide individual assistance to students during class assignments.

I. Use classroom management strategies effectively.

III. Course Outline (varies from department to department)

Experiences:

A. Continue to refine observational skills of Pre Student Teaching Clinical I.

B. Examine, analyze and reflect on the techniques and strategies that the cooperating teacher uses effectively for teaching/learning.

C. Examine how the teacher motivates the students to want to learn.

- D. Examine the techniques that are effective in reducing boredom and maintaining student interest.
- E. Analyze the various methods that the teacher employs to handle discipline.
- F. Engage in individual tutoring, small group instruction, and large group instruction.
- G. Write lesson plans and unit plans (where applicable) that are appropriate to the major.
- H. Work with a class or designated group of students to develop a beginning set of teaching skills and abilities.

I. Teach a lesson(s) and demonstrate specific concepts.
J. Team with a teacher to enrich a lesson.
K. Contribute items for assessment or evaluation of a student(s).

L. Implement lesson plans with instructional strategies and accompanying support materials appropriately.

IV. Evaluation Methods: (Major specific)

Each student will be expected to exhibit professionalism in the school assigned, demonstrate a solid knowledge of the liberal arts and the professional core, demonstrate pedagogical skills, and a commitment to quality in all of the assigned experiences.

V. Required Readings:

Chiarelott, L., Davidman, L., & Ryan, K. (1994). Lenses on teaching: Developing perspectives on classroom life, 2nd Ed. Fort Worth: Harcourt Brace College Publishers.

*Bennett, D. I., Meyer, C. H. & Meyer, D. E. (1994). Elementary field experiences: A handbook with resources. Delmar Publishers.

*This book can be helpful not only to elementary education majors but to secondary majors in other disciplines who will have two student teaching experiences.

VI. Course Requirements

The requirements for ED 342 are major-specific; however, minimally, each student must:

A. Attend a minimum of 5 classes with the assigned professor in his/her department.

B. Submit the necessary forms and other paperwork for review prior to submission to Office of Educational College-School Partnerships, listing at least 3 different schools of choice. Students are required to complete their early field experiences outside their home district, district of residence, or districts that employ relatives of the student unless there is a departmental policy that allows an exception based upon considerations of quality of the experience. The final decision lies with the course instructor or departmental coordinator as determined by individual program policy.

C. Participate in a minimum of 35 hours of actual time in school/field based clinical experiences,

engaging in the appropriate activities as described by the professor.

D. Meet with the professor at the end of the experience as either an individual or in a group to share and discuss the experiences and to assess the appropriateness of desire of continuing as an education major.

E. Complete the assigned readings and engage in reflective thinking processes to examine and analyze theoretical perspectives on teaching and classroom teacher practices.

F. Incorporate the suggestions for improvement in teaching individuals, small groups or large groups from both the cooperating teacher and the university supervisor.

G. Assume responsibility for all assignments and duties as prescribed by the cooperating teacher and/or the university supervisor.

AACTE (1986) Equity/Excellence. AACTE Briefs, 7(2), 10-12.

Arends, R. I. (1991). Learning to teach. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc..

Banks, J. (1984). Teaching strategies for ethnic studies (3rd ed). Newton, MA: Allyn & Bacon.

Berliner, D. (1987). Simple views of effecting teaching and a simple theory of classroom instruction. In D. Berliner & B Rosenshin (Eds), <u>Talks to teachers</u> (pp. 93-112). New York: Random House.

Bogdan, R. (1983). Does mainstreaming work? Phi Delta Kappan, 64, 427.

Brunner, J., Goodnew, J., & Austin, G. (1956). A study of thinking. New York: John Wiley.

Carnegie Corporation. (1986). <u>A nation prepared: Teachers for the 21st century.</u> New York: Carnegie Corporation..

Cooper, J. M. (1986). Classroom teaching skills. Boston, MA: D.C. Health & Co..

Cruickshank, D.R., & Clausen, C. (1983). <u>Reflective teaching</u> (Film). Columbus, OH: The Ohio State University.

Fennimore, B. S. (1995). Student-centered classroom management. Albany, NY: Delmar.

Friend, M & Bursuck, W. D. (1999). <u>Including students with special needs: A practical guide for classroom teachers</u> (2nd ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Good, T., & Brophy, J. (1987). Looking in the classroom, (4th ed.). New York: Harper & Row.

Hunter, M. (1994). Inspiring active learning: A handbook for teachers. Alexandra: ASCD.

Jacobsen, D., Eggen, P. & Kauchak, D. (1993). Unit and lesson planning. In <u>Methods for teaching: A skills approach</u>. New York: MacMillan.

Levine, D. (1982). Successful approaches for improving academic achievement in inner-city schools. Phi Delta Kappan, 63, 523-526.

Lewis, R. B. & Doorlag, D. H. (1999). <u>Teaching special students in general education classrooms</u> (5th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Logan, K.R., Bakeman, R. & Keefe, E.B. (1997). Effects of instructional variables on engaged behavior of students with disabilities in general education classrooms. <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 63, 481-497.

MacDonald, R. E. (1991). A handbook of basic skills and strategies for beginning teachers. Longman New York.

Moles, O.C. (Ed.). (1990). <u>Student discipline strategies: Research and practice</u>. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.

Moore, K.D. (1998). Classroom teaching skills (4th ed.). Boston: McGraw Hill

Ornstein, A. C. & Lasley, II, T. J. (2000). <u>Strategies for effective teaching (3rd ed.</u>). Boston: McGraw Hill

Posner, G. J. (1996). Field experience: A guide to reflective teaching, (4th ed.). Longman, New York.

Sage, D. D. (1997). Inclusion in secondary schools: Bold initiatives challenging change. Port

Chester, NY: National Professional Resources, Inc.

Sparks-Langer, G.M., & Colton, A. B. (1991). Synthesis of research on teachers' reflective thinking. <u>Educational Leadership</u>, 48(6), 37-44.

Tiedt, P.L., & Tiedt, I. M. (1992). <u>Multicultural teaching: A handbook of activities information and resources</u>. Boston: Allyn & Bacon, Inc.

Waldron, N. L., McLeskey, J. & Pacchiano, D. (1999). Giving teachers a voice: Teachers' perspectives regarding elementary inclusive school programs (ISP). <u>Teacher Education and Special Education</u>, 22, 141-153.

2. A summary of the proposed revisions

Changes have been proposed only in the course requirements section of the syllabus. Comments by requirement "letter" follow:

- A. We have added a minimum number of class meetings.
- B. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
- C. We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
- D. This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- E-G. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

3. Justification/rationale for the revision

After consultation with the Council of Deans, the Teacher Education Coordinating Council (TECC) is recommending this proposal in order to grant workload preparation to faculty teaching ED242 Prestudent Teaching I and ED342 Pre-student Teaching II. At the recommendation of the Deans and concurrence of TECC, we are proposing the revised minimum course requirements to demonstrate our rationale for granting workload preparation for these courses.

TECC is aware that the vast majority of teacher preparation programs far surpass these course requirements. We feel strongly that faculty who expend significant preparation time in terms of class time, supervision time, evaluation of teaching performance and/or written assignments time deserve the workload preparation. In order to obtain this preparation, TECC and the Council of Deans requested that minimum course requirements be stated explicitly in the syllabi. The University Curriculum Committee requested we send forward a course change proposal to incorporate these changes.

E. The old syllabus of record. (see attached)

Part III. Letters of Support

SYLLABUS Pre Student Teaching Clinic II ED 342

Course Description:

Pre Student Teaching Clinical Experience II, ED 342, is designed to build upon the experiences of ED 242 Pre Student Teaching I and prepares students to meet the demands of a student teaching experience. During the experience the pre student teacher is to develop skills and abilities in a variety of teaching experiences while being mentored by a cooperating teacher and a university supervisor. Much attention is given to planning and teaching lessons, thus, the experience must be in the major academic area, and where possible, at a different level and site than the first experience.

All of the experiences are to increase the pre student teacher's competence and prepare him/her to move into the next field experience - student teaching with competence and confidence.

Prerequisites:

To enroll in ED 342 students must have completed the standards for admission to junior standing, STEP TWO in the Four STEP PROCESS, and have completed the necessary paper work for the junior field experience in the assigned major.

Relationship to the College of Education Knowledge Base

ED 342 is the second field experience in the College of Education where students are able to exhibit behaviors congruent with the College of Education's beliefs: that teachers we prepare demonstrate professionalism and a commitment to the learner; that teachers work in collaboration with all constituents to develop appropriate learning activities for all learners; that teachers are competent and grounded in pedagogical skills identified with his/her major program of studies; that teachers engage in reflective teaching and develop practices of life-long learners.

Course Outcomes:

- 1. Practices professional responsibility in a spirit of cooperation.
- 2. Exhibits enthusiasm for, and a personal involvement in teaching.
- 3. Demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of students.
- 4. Establishes a positive environment for student expression.
- 5. Accepts criticism and modifies behavior accordingly.
- 6. Provides effective tutorial work with one student or

small group.

- 7. Exhibits competence in classroom instruction or the demonstration of specific concepts.
- 8. Provides individual assistance to students during class assignments.
- 9. Uses classroom management strategies effectively.

Experiences:

- continue to refine observational skills of Pre Student Teaching Clinical I.
- examine, analyze and reflect on the techniques and strategies that the cooperating teacher uses effectively for teaching/learning.
- examine how the teacher motivates the students to want to learn.
- examine the techniques that are effective in reducing boredom and maintaining student interest.
- analyze the various methods that the teacher employs to handle discipline.
- engage in individual tutoring, small group instruction, and large group instruction.
- write lesson plans and unit plans (where applicable) that are appropriate to the major.
- work with a class or designated group of students to develop a beginning set of teaching skills and abilities.
- teach a lesson(s) and demonstrate specific concepts.
- team with a teacher to enrich a lesson.
- contribute items for assessment or evaluation of a student(s).
- implement lesson plans with instructional strategies and accompanying support materials appropriately.

Required Readings:

- Chiarelott, L., Davidman, L., and Ryan, K. (1994) Lenses on teaching: developing perspecitves on classroom life. 2nd edition. Fort Worth: Harcourt Brace College Publishers.
- *Bennett, D. I., Meyer, C. H. and Meyer, D. E. (1994)
 Elementary field experiences: a handbook with resources.
 Delmar Publishers.
 - * This book can be helpful not only to elementary education majors but to secondary majors in other disciplines who will have two student teaching experiences

Course Requirements:

The requirements for ED 342 are Major-specific, however, when classes are held, each student must:

1. Attend class with the assigned professor in your department.

2. Submit the necessary forms and other paperwork for review prior to submission to the Student Teaching Office.

3. Complete the assigned readings and engage in reflective thinking processes to examine and analyze theoretical perspectives on teaching and classroom teacher practices.

4. Incorporate the suggestions for improvement in teaching individuals, small groups or large groups from both the cooperating teacher and the university supervisor.

5. Assume responsibility for all assignments and duties as prescribed by the cooperating teacher and/or the university supervisor.

Grading Policy: (Major specific)

Each student will be expected to exhibit professionalism in

the school assigned, demonstrate a solid knowledge of the liberal arts and the professional core, demonstrate pedagogical skills, and a commitment to quality in all of the assigned experiences.

October 19, 1999

To: James Myers

Undergraduate Curriculum Committee

From: Clarice Reber

Representative of the Teacher Education Coordinators Council

Subject: Course Revisions

Attached you will find copies of course revisions for ED 242 Pre Student Teaching Clinical Experience I and ED 342 Pre Student Teaching Clinical Experience II. TECC is forwarded this information for review as requested by the committee last year. If you have any questions regarding these proposals, representatives from the TECC will be pleased to respond. These revisions are a result of an agreement between the Dean's Council and TECC. Once you have reviewed these proposals, it is anticipated that Meet and Discuss will approve preparation workload credit for faculty teaching these courses.

Thank you for the work you and your committee do.

MAY 1 3 1999

1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.

2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.

We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
 This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.

5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

The Department ofART	approves the proposed additions to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	Provide the proposed additions to
Signed: Value hutto	Date: <u>5/16/99</u>
Print or type name	

- 1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.
- 2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
- 3. We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
- 4. This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

The Department of Biology	approves the proposed additions to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	
Signed: W. Bart Bille	Date: 3/23 / 9 9
Print or type name	

- 1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.
- We added a maintain number of class meetings.
 We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
 We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
 This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

	139
The Department of Chemistry	approves the proposed additions to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	
Signed: Jothen Carrichere	Date: March 2, 1999
Print or type name	

- We added a minimum number of class meetings.
 We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
 We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
 This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

The Department of <u>Cnylis W Idication</u> the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	_ approves the proposed additions to
Signed: Charles LINDA C NORRIS Print or type name	Date: 3/22/99

- 1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.
- 2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
- We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
 This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

The Department of Few J. Sen on the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	_ approves the proposed additions to
Signed: Lincus:	Date: <u>8-6-99</u>
Print or type name	

1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.

2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.

3. We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.

4. This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.

5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

The Department of Geoscience	approves the proposed additions to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	
Signed: Jufall	Date: 4/9/99
Frank W. Hall Print or type name	

- 1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.
- 2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
- 3. We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
- 4. This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

	MAR	9

The Department of Health and Priquel Edu approves the proposed	addition	s to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.		
Signed:		
Print or type name		

1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.

2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.

ADD 1 1999

3. We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.

4. This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

The Department of // 15/01/ (Sec. 51.) approves the proposed additions to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.
Signed: Date: 4/19/99
Print or type name Jud, th McDonough

Please return to the Educational College/School Partnership Office, 104 Stouffer.

11=11 1 -11

4/23/99

- 1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.
- 2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
- We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
 This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

approves the proposed additions to
Date:
_

- 1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.
- 2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
- We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
 This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

	APR 2 1 1999
The Department of Music	approves the proposed additions to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	
Signed: John Scandrett, Chair	Date: <u>April 19, 1999</u>
Print or type name	

- 1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.
- 2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
- 3. We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
- 4. This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

The Department of Physics the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	_ approves the proposed additions to
Signed: Buchard D. Roberts Print or type name	Date: <u>3/3/99</u>

- 1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.
- 2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
- 3. We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
- 4. This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

The Department of Rafessinal Hickes in E	- J
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	
Signed Denna Dald	Date: 3/1/9 9
Print or type name Edwin a JB. Vold	

(

- We added a minimum number of class meetings.
 We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
 We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
- 4. This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

	FEB 2 6 1999
The Department of Spanish & Classical Languages	approves the proposed additions to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	
Signed: Canana	Date: 2-24-99
Dr. Jose M. Carranza, Chair Print or type name	

APR 1 9 1999

Comments: (By requirement number.)

- 1. We have added a minimum number of class meetings.
- 2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
- 3. We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
- 4. This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

The Department of Special Education	_ approves the proposed additions to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record. Signed:	Date: 4/19/99
Richard C. Nowell Print or type name	Date:

MAY 3 199

Comments: (By requirement number.)

- 1. We added a minimum number of class meetings.
- 2. We added procedures to make long standing policy explicit to students.
- 3. We added this requirement to explicitly state the minimum amount of field based experience.
- 4. This change assures faculty will conduct an exit interview with students.
- 5-7. These requirements are part of the current course syllabi.

The Department of <u>Technology Support & Training</u> approves the proposed additions to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.
Signed: (2) Date: 4/27/51
Print or type name

The Department of Vocational Ed.	FEB 2 0 199 _ approves the proposed additions to
the ED 242 and ED 342 syllabi of record.	
Signed: Sandra J. DURBIN	Date: 2-25-99
Print or type name	

99-45a & b

Marcia L. McCarty

From:

Gail Sechrist <gailsech@iup.edu>

To:

Marcia McCarty <mmccarty@grove.iup.edu>

Sent:

Tuesday, January 18, 2000 3:03 PM

Subject:

Fw: ED 242 and 342 Proposals

99-45a and b Bring proposals from Dec. 14 meeting.

-----Original Message-----

From: Clarice Reber < creber@grove.iup.edu>

To: Gail Sechrist <gailsech@iup.edu>

Cc: John R. Johnson cip.edu; Gail S. Sechrist cip.edu; Glisan@dav211a.ed.iup.edu; Glisan@dav211a.ed.iup.edu; CREBER@grove.iup.edu

< CREBER@grove.iup.edu>

Date: Monday, January 17, 2000 11:44 AM Subject: Re: ED 242 and 342 Proposals

Dear Gail:

Here is our response to the Curriculum Committee's questions. Please do not hestitate to call me if you wish more information or my presence at the committee meeting. Thanks for all your assistance in this revision. Clarice

Responses to Questions Regarding ED 242/342 Course Revisions

1. What is the motivation for the curriculum revision? Is it faculty workload concerns or a desire to improve course expectations for students?

Both

However, in most cases, the stated course expectations currently are met or exceeded, although there are variations on how the course is delivered. These variations are necessary to meet disciplinary differences. For example, delivery of teaching services in Education of Persons with Hearing Loss is very different than the delivery of teaching services in Biology.

These course minimums are being stated explicitly at the request of the Council of Deans, as part of their agreement to a workload preparation for the courses,

2. Why are you forwarding something that is stated to be a minimum?

Because, in actuality, it is a minimum. This course is offered by multiple departments across the university who represent a wide variety of teaching disciplines. To write a course that meets the needs of all of the disciplines, the expectations need to be stated in a generic form that assures a minimum level of experience for pre service teachers. Each discipline must meet the guidelines of the University, PDE, NCATE, and in many cases their own professional organization. Therefore, this syllabus meets the College/University/TECC need of stating what the College and University deem to be minimum, but encourages disciplines to develop course work that indeed may exceed those minimum guidelines. We do not want to set expectations that limit the pre student teaching experiences of a particular discipline.

3. How do you handle the conflict between the course description, which states that students must take by their sophomore year and students who transfer in late or aren't able to take it by their sophomore year because of low GPAs?

Please revise the course description as follows:

ED242 Pre Student Teaching Clinical Experience I var-1sh

Prerequisites: Admission to teacher certification

Observation/participation in a basic education classroom beginning not later than the sophomore year. Transfer (and other selected students at the recommnedation of the teacher education coordinator of the program) may register upon completion of admission to teacher certification.

4. How is workload credit and prep time going to be different it is now? Has it since been approved at Meet and Discuss?

B. We sent this document to you prior to Meet and Discuss at the recommendation of Pat Heilman, President of APSCUF. As the committee may recall, we asked you if indeed you wanted to see the proposal. You said yes.

A. Preparation for the course will now be consistent across departments. All faculty will receive a preparation for ED 242 and ED

- 5. Do all these departments understand the implication of these workload changes?

342. Currently, some faculty receive preparations, some do not.

They were all informed of the workload preparation change in writing when they signed the agreement form. Some Chairpersons did indeed inquire about the implications. We attempted to be very clear in our response.

Gail Sechrist wrote:

Dear Clarice and John, On December 14 the ED 242 and 342 proposals were on the Undergraduate Curriculum Committee agenda and the following questions/concerns wereraised:1) What is the motivation for the currilum revision? Is it faculty workloadconcerns or a desire to improve course expectations for students?2) Why are you forwarding something that is stated to be a minimum?3) How do you handle the conflict between the course description, which statesthat students must take by their sophmore year and students who transfer in late or aren't able to take it by their sophmore year because of low GPAs?(A statement probably just needs to be added in somewhere)4) How is workload credit and prep time going to be different than it is now? Has it since been approved at Meet and Discuss? 5) Do all these departments understand the implication of these workloadchanges? The Provost wasn't at the Dec. 14 meeting and he might have been able to answer some of these questions. We won't be meeting again until Jan. 25so you have time to answer these concerns. The agenda deadline for the Jan 25 meeting will be noon on Jan 20. Sorry for the delay in sending thismessage because of finals and papers to grade. Let us know if you need anyclarification.Gail S. Sechrist