LSC Use Only Number: Submission Date:



UWUCC USE Only Number: Submission Date:

ı-Date:	L LIBERAL ST	IIDIEC ACTION-	Date: App 3/18/
	JLUM PROPOSAL e Undergraduate C	COVER SHEET	Seriete App 4
Contact Person Joseph Domaracki			PhoneX5678
Department Special Educat	ion and Clinica	l Services	
PROPOSAL TYPE (Check All	I Appropriate Lines)	
COURSE	EX ST REG		ve tielo
New Course*			
X Course Revision		ation of Studer nclusive Elemer	nts with Disabilities ntary Classrooms
Course Deletion		Course Number and Fi	ull Title
Course or Catalog	Description Change	New Number and/or Fo	
Codisc or Catalog	Description Ghang	Course Number and Fu	ull Title
PROGRAM:	Major	Minor	Track
New Program*	7	Program Name	
garden statistica de la companya de		Program Name	·
Program Deletion*			
		Program Name	
Title Change		Program Name Old Program Name	1 † N
	Contact Person_Joseph_Dom Department_Special_Educate PROPOSAL TYPE (Check AllCOURSENew Course*X_Course RevisionLiberal Studies Approached for new or existingCourse DeletionNumber and/or TitleCourse or CatalogPROGRAM:	Contact Person_Joseph_Domaracki Department_Special_Education_and_Clinical PROPOSAL TYPE (Check All Appropriate Lines	Contact Person Joseph Domaracki Department Special Education and Clinical Services PROPOSAL TYPE (Check All Appropriate Lines) COURSE EX ST REG ELEM CLSRM Suggested 20 characte X Course Revision EX 300 - Education of Studies X Course Revision Course Number and Function of Studies Liberal Studies Approval + for new or existing course Course Number and Function of Studies Course Deletion Course Number and Function of Studies Course Deletion Course Number and Function of Studies Number and/or Title Change Old Number and/or Function of Studies New Number and/or Function of Studies Course Number and Function of Studies Number and Function of Studies Course Number and Function of Studies Number and Function of Studies Course Number and Function of Studies Number and Function of Studies Course Number and Function of Studies New Number

COURSE ANALYSIS QUESTIONNAIRE

Section A: Details of the Course

- Al This course is not intended for Education of Exceptional Persons majors. It is designed for all Elementary and Early Childhood majors.
- A2 This course is intended for use when a specific program of study requires a special education course for their teacher education majors or as an alternative to EX 481 the Special Education Competency Test (SECT).
- A3 EX 300 is currently offered to all education majors for 3 credits.
- A4 This course is not intended to be dual level.
- A5 This course is not to be taken for variable credit
- A6 Similar courses are offered at these institutuions: SUNY at Freedonia ED 250 Introduction to the Exceptional Learner; Lock Haven University SPEC 105 Introduction to Exceptionalities and University of Illinois SPED 308 Teaching Students with Learning and Behavior Problems in the Regular Classroom
- A7 The Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) and NCATE strongly recommend this course in their accreditation standards. Content of this course is too extensive to be incorporated into the existing methods courses in other departments.

Section B: Interdisciplinary Implications

- B1 This course will be taught by one instructor.
- B2 This course does not overlap with any other courses at IUP. However, it is intended to be taken during the designated semester of methods courses for elementary and early childhood majors.
- B3 Seats in this course will not be available to students in the School of Continuing Education.

Section C: Implementation

C1 Current faculty resources are adequate to offer this course and EX 301 to all teacher education majors. A new faculty member, Dr. Kent Jackson, was employed by the Special

Education department for this purpose. He has special qualifications in the area of working in inclusive classrooms

C2 Other Resources:

- a. Current classroom space allocations are adequate within the department and the college.
- b. Special equipment is not required for this course.
- c. Laboratory supplies or other consumable goods are not required for this course.
- d. Library materials: Acquisitions will continue to be necessary. Acquisition budgets have been steady but small. Revison of this course will not change acquisition patterns or needs.
- e. Travel funds: No funds required specific to this course.
- C3 No grant funds are associated with this course
- C4. This course will be offered during the fall, spring, and summer semesters each year to enable students to plan their course of studies.
- C5 Four sections of this course will be offered in the fall and spring semesters with one section offered in a summer session.
- C6 Thirty-five (35) students will be accommodated per section of this course. The nature of the written assignments of this course limit the possibility of larger sections.

 Approximately 315 students per year or 140 students per the spring and fall semester can be accommodated through these section offerings.
- C7 There are no parameters for enrollment imposed by professional societies.

Section D: Miscellaneous

No additional information is necessary.

PART II

DESCRIPTION OF THE CURRICULUM CHANGE

1. New course syllabus is attached: See Appendix A

Catalog description, course title, number of credits and prerequisites:

EX 300 Education of Students with Disabilities in Inclusive Elementary Classrooms 2c-ol-2sh

Prerequisites: For non-special education majors only. To be taken during the designated semester of methods courses for elementary and early childhood majors.

This course examines the issues associated with education of learners with diverse learning needs, particularly those with disabilities or who are at risk for school failure. There is an emphasis on special education, particularly the legal rights of students with exceptionalities and their parents, and the responsibilities of educators in addressing those rights. The course examines the differential impact of student characteristics on elementary-level school performance and instructional methods proven to be effective in educating students with disabilities and learning style differences.

- 2. Summary of proposed revisions:
 - A. Course name change
 - B. Content revison
 - C. Change from 3 credits to 2 credits
- 3. Justification/rationale for the revision:
 - A. Revised course to be a service course for all Elementary and Early childhood majors to be taken as a co-requisite with the respective major methods course.
 - B Course content to focus on instructional techniques for use in the inclusive classroom with students experiencing disabilities and cultural / linguistic diversity.
 - C. Recent accreditation reports from NCATE and the Pennsylvania Department of Education have cited the College of Education for not providing sufficient

course work in special education methodology for regular education majors. It was suggested by both organizatons that the College of Education rectify this problem by requiring course work in this area. The addition of this course will meet these requirements

- 4. The old syllabus of record: See Appendix B
- 5. Liberal Studies course approval form: NOT APPLICABLE

APPENDIX A

NEW SYLLABUS OF RECORD

EX 300 EDUCATION OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES IN INCLUSIVE ELEMENTARY CLASSROOMS



SYLLABUS

EX 300 - Education of Students with Disabilities in Inclusive Elementary Classrooms 2 credit course

Prerequisites: For Early Childhood and Elementary Education Majors Only

To be taken only during the semester of the designated methods

courses

Course Description

This course examines the issues associated with education of students with diverse learning needs, particularly those with disabilities or who are at risk for school failure. There is an emphasis on special education, particularly the legal rights of students with exceptionalities and their parents, and the responsibilities of educators in addressing those rights. The course examines the differential impact of student characteristics on elementary-level school performance and instructional methods proven to be effective in educating students with disabilities and learning style differences.

Relationship of Course to the College Knowledge Base

This course uniquely represents the core knowledge base of the College of Education in its content. Throughout this course, elementary-level teacher candidates become thoroughly familiar with characteristics of learners who have disabilities as well as learners who are at-risk for school failure. More importantly, teacher candidates develop strategies and lesson plans as a means of adapting and modifying instructional practices in meeting the academic and behavioral needs of these learners. Furthermore, teacher candidates demonstrate their exploration of important professional literature as a means to broaden their own knowledge base and demonstrate a commitment to the education of ALL learners. Collaboration, as a professional practice, is both described and experienced by the elementary-level teacher candidates in this course, as they work together in simulation activities which represent typical problem solving in schools.

Course Objectives

As outcomes of readings and experiences in this course, the student will demonstrate, through discussion and through formal and informal activities and evaluation, that he/she has a working knowledge of

- 1. the inclusive context of mainstreaming within education;
- 2. general and specific characteristics of students with exceptionalities as well as all learners who present challenges to the regular classroom teacher;

- 3. the laws and services available for persons with exceptionalities, with particular emphasis on the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA);
- 4. the foundations of effective instruction for all students including those with diverse learning needs;
- 5. developmental stages in prereferral and referral processes (i.e., the Instructional Support Team process);
- 6. ways in which various disabilities may affect the feelings, interactions, and expectations of students and teachers;
- 7. effective teaching and behavior management strategies and methods for intervening and evaluating the progress of students with exceptionalities and other students "at risk" for school failure;
- 8. modifying instructional practices in the content areas and using computers and other technologies to enhance instruction;
- 9. ways in which students with exceptionalities and diverse learning needs are more like their basic education peers than they are different.
- 10. the team approach to collaboration and consultation for problem solving and decision making in schools

Required Text

Lewis, R. B. & Doorlag, D. H. (1995). Teaching Special Students in the Mainstream. (4th Edition). Merrill: Englewood Cliffs, NJ

Mancuso, E. (1992). The Right to Special Education in Pennsylvania - A guide for parents. Education Law Center: Harrisburg, PA

Course Outline

Weeks 1 & 2

- A. Elements of Mainstreaming (Inclusive) Practices
 - 1. Origins of mainstreaming
 - 2. Benefits of mainstreaming
 - 3. Current issues and trends
 - 4. Mainstreaming teams and the roles of members
 - 5. Prereferral intervention strategies

Week 3

- B. Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)
 - 1. Right to a free, appropriate public education
 - 2. Due process for children and youth with disabilities and their parents
 - 3. Fairness in evaluation
 - 4. Least restrictive environment
 - 5. Individualized educational program

Weeks 4-9

- C. Methods for Including Students with Disabilities in Elementary-Level Classrooms
 - 1. Indicators of disabilities including learning disabilities, ADD/ADHD, mental retardation, behavioral disorders, communication disorders, physical and health impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, vision and hearing impairments
 - 2. Assessment procedures
 - 3. Elements of a functional curriculum
 - 4. Adapting instructional and testing materials
 - 5. Methods in behavior management
 - 6. Teaching study skills and learning strategies
 - 7. Modifying the physical environment
 - 8. Enhancing communication skill development
 - 9. Addressing social needs of students with disabilities
 - 10. Using technology in assessment and instruction

Weeks 10-11

- D. Methods for Teaching Students from Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Backgrounds
 - 1. Promoting acceptance of diversity
 - 2. Assessment and special services
 - 3. Adaptations for mainstreaming

Weeks 12-13

- E. Methods for Teaching Students at Risk for School Failure
 - 1. Child abuse and neglect
 - 2. Drug and alcohol abuse
 - 3. Eating disorders
 - 4. Delinquency
 - 5. Family issues

Week 14

- F. Collaborative Practices
 - 1. Communication processes which enhance collaboration
 - 2. Communication processes which create barriers to effective communication
 - 3. The role of teaming and problem solving in school decision making

Course Requirements

Students will demonstrate mastery of course objective as demonstrated by the successful completion of the following requirements:

Graded Assignments

- 1. Collaboration/teaming paper (45 points)
- 2. Adapted lesson plan (30 points)
- 3. Professional literature summary (25 points)
- 4. Observational summary/behavior management plan (20 points)

Mid-term evaluation

The mid-term evaluation will consist of an objective section (40 points) and an essay (20 points).

Final Examination

The final examination is cumulative and contributes 160 points to the overall grade.

In all written assignments, the following guidelines are used as criteria determining grade:

Technical Aspects (basic writing skills)

- a. Sentence structure complete and grammatically correct
- b. Word usage appropriate form, tense, and person
- c. Punctuation and spelling correct usage and spelling
- d. Terminology appropriate use of professional terms

Content (refers to the quality of written information)

- a. Paper addresses the specific topic.
- b. Importance/relevance is specified.
- c. Reasoning, logic, and cohesiveness are apparent.
- d. Paper has a clear beginning, middle, and ending.
- e. Information supports conclusion.

Scholarship (refers to the degree to which the paper demonstrates formal writing skills)

- a. Clarity and logic extent to which ideas are communicated clearly and logically developed
- **b.** Transitions coherence between sentences and paragraphs
- **c. Paragraph construction -** internal consistency of ideas within paragraphs
- **d. Conclusions -** extent to which conclusions follow and are consistent with earlier statements

Grade Determination:

In determining grades for each student, cumulative points will be tallied for each student. Letter grades will be determined according to the following:

- a. The range of A's will be comprised of the scores that fall within 92% to 100% of the total points possible.
- b. The range of B's will be comprised of the scores that fall within 91% to 84% of the total points possible.
- c. The range of C's will be comprised of the scores that fall between 83% to 76% of the total points possible.
- d. The range of D's will be comprised of the scores that fall between 75% to 68% of the total points possible.

Attendance

The University currently upholds an attendance policy. You may miss up to two classes without repercussion (because this is a 2-credit course), however, 10 points will be deducted from your overall total points for each class missed beyond two. Exceptions are made for religious holidays, medical emergencies, or family emergencies.

EX 300 EDUCATION OF STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES IN INCLUSIVE ELEMENTARY CLASSROOMS

COURSE OUTCOMES

COMPETENCE

EXIT OUTCOME #1: Communicates effectively in written and oral form.

KNOWLEDGE BASE: The teacher candidate demonstrates well-developed skills in content and pedagogy.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

- · conveys ideas and concepts clearly and engages the attention of the learner, taking into account their learning strengths and specific weaknesses.
- Uses effective nonverbal techniques which are congruent with verbal communication.
- Uses vocabulary appropriate to age level and content through an understanding of learners' communication strengths and limitations.
- · Adjusts written communication style to the nature of the task and the understanding of the learner.

EXIT OUTCOME #2: Displays ability to assume total responsibility for the classroom PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

- Considers individual differences in determining beginning levels of tasks.
- Demonstrates the ability to analyze as task and reduce it to its component parts and sequence.

Uses appropriate techniques for assessment, both formally and EXIT OUTCOME #3: informally.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

- Assesses students' levels of prerequisite knowledge and skills and uses this information for appropriate planning through knowledge of methods in curriculum-based assessment.
- Matches appropriate assessment methods to the goals set for instruction.
- Designs assessments (e.g. performance, paper and pencil, personal communication) appropriate to both the nature of the task and the learning styles of individual students.
- Assesses student's short term and long term learning.
- Utilizes available diagnostic information in instructional planning.

Uses well developed pedagogical skills in teaching content. EXIT OUTCOME #4:

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

- Identifies appropriate benchmarks for students with an understanding of learners' communication, behavioral, as well as academic needs.
- Uses techniques like voice and proximity to motivate students to perform at a level commensurate with their abilities.
- Uses effective techniques for conveying content area to student with an understanding of adapting and/or modifying instructional materials and methods.

Provides for classroom management. EXIT OUTCOME #5:

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

• Uses appropriate observational strategies to ensure that students are supervised all the time.

• Deals appropriately and consistently with disruptive behavior through an understanding of positive behavioral management strategies for both group and individual.

• Establishes appropriate standards for classroom behavior in which diversity in learning styles is

accommodated.

• Presents a model for desirable behavior.

• Channels student energies into productive energies.

• Develops and implements appropriate consequences for individual misbehavior through an understanding of learner differences.

· Avoids meaningless or inappropriate threats through an understanding of behavioral management

· Keeps students on task utilizing techniques in effective instructional design and positive behavioral management.

• Uses a variety of classroom management strategies.

Uses a variety of teaching materials and equipment creatively and EXIT OUTCOME #6: effectively.

 Prepares and organizes needed equipment and materials in advance accounting for individual learning styles within diverse classroom environments.

Selects materials and equipment appropriate to lesson outcomes and of individual learning styles.

• Prepares appropriate well constructed materials which are professional in appearance and which incorporate necessary technological apparatus which may be required by learners with various learning

The teacher candidate understands how students learn and develop, and can provide learning opportunities that support their intellectual, social and personal development based on an understanding typical and atypical child and adolescent development.

COMMITMENT

Displays professionalism in all interactions within the classroom and EXIT OUTCOME #1: in the community

The teacher candidate is a reflective practitioner who continually KNOWLEDGE BASE: evaluates the effects of choices and actions and who seeks opportunities to grow professionally.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

• Exhibits a positive attitude toward professional responsibilities and fulfills responsibilities promptly through the development of a personal teaching philosophy which includes a responsibility to learners with diverse learning needs.

Keeps accurate and adequate records which utilize observational strategies and curriculum-cased

measurement

Reconciles personal ethics with school and community expectations.

Interacts with faculty, students, and staff in a manner that merits respect, uses appropriate language and maintains a professional dress code.

 Maintains confidentiality of all student information, and utilizes guidelines established within PL 94-142 with respect to the confidentiality and due process rights of parents of students with disabilities.

Follows propre protocol when dealing with administration, faculty, and staff members (follows proper chain of command within the school district).

Demonstrates broad understandings and affirmation of cultural, EXIT OUTCOME # 2: ethnic, academic, and socioeconomic diversity.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

· Shows fairness, tact, compassion, and respect for individuals through an understanding of typical and atypical child development and an understanding of diverse learning needs.

• Uses good judgement in dealing with students with an understanding of federal laws and guidelines related

to student with disabilities.

· Avoids stereotyping students through an understanding of typical and atypical child development and learning styles and communication differences among learners.

· Facilitates development of a positive self-concept for all students.

· Accommodates students of diverse backgrounds and learning styles by utilizing methods of adapting lessons and modifying learning opportunities.

EXIT OUTCOME #3: Exhibits personal involvement in teaching.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

• Recognizes the efforts and achievements of students particularly as they relate to the individual educational programs of students with disabilities.

• Projects enthusiasm in teaching which is reflected in voice and action accounting for diverse learning

needs among student population.

Establishes a positive environment for acceptance of student ideas. EXIT OUTCOME #4:

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

 Values and encourages differences of opinion and utilizes specific methods in attitude change theory as it relates to individuals with diverse learning needs.

Provides activities allowing a variety of responses through knowledge of individual learning styles and

effective instructional strategies.

• Demonstrates a democratic approach within the classroom and encourages the active participation of all students.

EXIT OUTCOME #5: Accepts suggestions and modifies behavior accordingly.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

 Solicits suggestions for improvement by utilizing effective communication skills among peers including those associated with collaborative teaching methods.

Serves as a positive role model for students, colleagues and parents. EXIT OUTCOME #6: PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

- Deals with conflict effectively through an understanding of peer collaboration skills and techniques.
- Uses discretion and professionalism in oral and written communication through an understanding of the collaboration and problem-solving processes associated with inclusive schooling practices.

• Demonstrate concern for the needs of others.

LEARNER

Emphasizes the teaching of learning strategies over rote learning of EXIT OUTCOME #1: specific facts and content and encourages active participation in the learning process.

KNOWLEDGE BASE:

The teacher candidate incorporates information about how students learn and develop, and provides learning opportunities that support students' intellectual, social and personal development.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

 Provides consistent opportunities for critical thinking through an understanding of a student's cognitive and metacognitive development.

· Promotes learning with experiential activities through an understanding of typical and atypical child

development and learning styles of students with disabilities.

• Teaches learning strategies appropriate for the content which are appropriate for learners and appropriate in meeting student's individual educational programs.

• Uses a variety of instructional strategies that accommodate diverse learners within the classroom.

Matches teaching strategies to desired learning outcomes, student needs and learning styles.

Applies principles of learning to classroom practice. EXIT OUTCOME #2:

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

· Leads students to think critically through skillful questioning, analyzing, synthesizing, and through simulated exercises of questioning techniques.

• Identifies and uses reteaching t reinforce learning based on results of curriculum-based measurement

techniques.

 Uses positive reinforcement techniques such as praise, nonverbal cues, and encouragement through an understanding of reinforcement theory for students with diverse learning needs.

Provides differentiated instruction for varying abilities and interests.

 Previews, summarizes and reteaches as necessary utilizing methods in curriculum-based assessment strategies.

• Encourages student initiated and dominated learning activities through an understanding of cooperative learning activities within heterogeneous classroom settings.

Involves students in a variety of of practical applications of skills.

 Sets reasonable and realistic standards for students based on full knowledge of the individual educational programs of students with disabilities.

 Monitors students academic progress and social behavior and adjusts instruction accordingly through an understanding of methods in curriculum-based assessment.

Uses wait time appropriately.

Adapts the physical environment to stimulate learning.

Creates opportunities for individual and small group interactions.

EXIT OUTCOME #3:

Demonstrates understanding of the growth and developmental characteristics of students being taught by engaging in developmentally appropriate practices.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

 Provides appropriate outlets for student energies through an understanding of typical and atypical growth and development.

· Matches activities to attention span of students through an understanding of the specific learning needs of

students with particular types of disabilities which impede attention processes.

 Accommodates differences through an understanding of prereferral strategies including incorporating the services of instructional support processes within the school building.

· Recognizes that students develop at their own rate through an understanding of typical and atypical child

development.

 Uses developmentally appropriate instructional strategies, content, activities, and materials through an understanding of diversity within the classroom context.

COLLABORATION

EXIT OUTCOME #1: Interacts effectively with all members of the educational community.

The teacher candidate fosters collaboration and supportive KNOWLEDGE BASE: interaction within the school community.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Uses knowledge and effective communication skills necessary for successful collaboration including co-

• Emphasizes a positive interaction process with students.

- Uses student input to establish classroom goals, plan activities, evaluate learner outcomes, solve problems and establish classroom rules.
- · Shares ideas and works frequently and effectively with other teachers, professionals and interacting agencies through an understanding of collaborative practices and skills as well as barriers associated with the teaming process.

• Engages in discussion with supervisors to resolve problems and plan effective interactions.

• Promotes and participates in the spirit of partnership with faculty, administration and other school personnel.

• Participates in and contributes to the reaching of concensus.

Uses effective decision making strategies.

• Demonstrates skill and willingness to work with community members and groups when appropriate with an understanding of the essentials of interagency collaboration which is necessary in dealing with students with disabilities.

Encourages collaboration among students. EXIT OUTCOME #2:

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

- Plans for and uses cooperative learning and teaching strategies through an understanding of cooperative learning strategies and team-building techniques.
- · Provides opportunities to develop and implement joint projects which include all students in a diverse learning environment.
- Involves students in cooperative planning and goal setting, particularly as it may relate to the development of an individual educational program.

• Uses appropriate grouping patterns to facilitate learning.

• Teaches and uses conflict resolution strategies and models those skills in a consistent manner in daily teaching activities.

· Uses various group learning strategies.

Uses and teaches interpersonal communication skills.

CONTENT

Demonstrates firm grounding in academic subject. EXIT OUTCOME #1:

The teacher candidate understands the central concepts, tools of KNOWLEDGE BASE: inquiry, and discipline to be taught and can create learning experiences that make these aspects of subject matter meaningful for students.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

- Demonstrates depth and breadth of knowledge in the specific discipline and utilizes methods of adaptation and accommodation for students with diverse learning needs.
- Uses practical examples to clarify and explain theoretical constructs.

 Researches current status of content area or particular strategies that may be necessary in meeting the learning needs of all students within the classroom.

Demonstrates current knowledge of content areas and identifies resources that will be of use in remaining

current

Plans for student engagement in issues, processes, and material EXIT OUTCOME #2: related to the subject matter.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

• Develops comprehensive plans including appropriate outcomes, procedures, materials and activities, particularly the individual education program process associated with students who have disabilities.

• Develops sequential lessons and reinforces previous learning utilizing methods of effective instructional

strategies.

• Designs plans that address long-range goals and planned courses of study and address the learning needs of students who may need modifications within the curriculum.

Provides learning tasks that are appropriate for both age and learning style.

Provides for student involvement in the assessment process through an understanding of methods of curriculum-based assessment and self-management strategies.

APPENDIX B OLD SYLLABUS OF RECORD

SYLLABUS EX 300 - Education of Exceptional Students in Regular Classrooms Spring 1995 - M W F - 2:15-3:15

Professor Susan Glor-Scheib 408 Davis Hall - 357-5687 Office Hours: M W F -9:45-II:30

For Non-Special Education Majors Only

Course Description

This course examines the real issues associated with mainstreaming, an ongoing, dynamic process of schooling for all students regardless of ability, disability, gender, socioeconomic status, family structure, ethnicity, or language spoken. Effective instructional techniques are effective instructional techniques whether applied to students with or without disabilities, students for whom English is not the primary language, or students who come from single-parent homes in low SES, inner-city neighborhoods. There is an emphasis on special education, particularly the legal rights of students with exceptionalities and their parents, and the inherent responsibilities of educators in addressing those rights. The overriding emphasis throughout this course is to develop teacher candidates' thinking about inclusive education. As educators, we no longer hold with the myths concerning the ability or the appropriateness of each individual teacher to handle all student's challenges in the classroom. We know that the demands of educating this nation's children require the collective abilities of all of us together. In this course, we will explode the pre-conceived notions that you may hold about education. This is good. You should be prepared for shifting your own thinking in an ongoing way, at the preservice level and as teaching professionals. Change is the hallmark of education in this society.

Relationship of Course to the College Knowledge Base

This course uniquely represents the core knowledge base of the College of Education in its content. Throughout this course, teacher candidates become thoroughly familiar with characteristics of learners who have disabilities as well as learners who are at-risk for school failure. More importantly, teacher candidates develop strategies and lesson plans as a means of adapting and modifying instructional practices in meeting the academic and behavioral needs of these learners. Furthermore, teacher candidates demonstrate their exploration of important professional literature as a means to broaden their own knowledge base and demonstrate a commitment to the education of ALL learners. Collaboration, as a professional practice, is both described and experienced by the teacher candidates in this course, as they work together in simulation activities which

V.63

represent typical problem solving in schools.

Course Objectives

As outcomes of readings and experiences in this course, the student will demonstrate, through discussion and through formal and informal activities and evaluation, that he/she has a working knowledge of

- the inclusive context of mainstreaming within education;
- general characteristics of students with exceptionalities as well as all learners who present challenges to the regular classroom teacher;
- the laws and services available for persons with exceptionalities, with particular emphasis on the Individuals with Disabilities
 Education Act (IDEA) and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA);
- 4. the foundations of effective instruction for all students including those with diverse learning needs;
- developmental stages in prereferral and referral processes (i.e., the Instructional Support Team process);
- 6. ways in which various disabilities may affect the feelings, interactions, and expectations of students and teachers;
- effective teaching strategies and methods for evaluating the progress of students with exceptionalities and other students "at risk" for school failure;
- modifying instructional practices in the content areas;
- ways in which students with exceptionalities and diverse learning needs are more like their basic education peers than they are different.

Required Text

Salend, S.J. (1994). Effective Mainstreaming - Creating Inclusive Classrooms. Macmillan Publishing Co.: New York.

Mancuso, E. (1992). The Right to Special Education in Pennsylvania - A guide for parents. Education Law Center: Harrisburg, PA.

Course Objectives (note-assignments preceded by a • are graded, otherwise are evaluated for completeness)

Week of January 16 - Mainstreaming is a societal convention. What does it mean for educators? How are educators coping with student diversity in the classroom? What can you as a teacher in training expect as your job responsibilities in future teaching positions? How is that similar or different from your own schooling experiences?

Readings: Chapter I - Salend

Assignment: One-page paper which addresses the questions above, due January

25

Weeks of January 23, 30, February 6 - How do educational professionals determine diverse learning characteristics? How do regular educators function within teams who make such determinations? What are laws and standards which deal with students with diverse learning needs? What are characteristics of students with various disabilities?

Readings: Chapter 2 - Salend; Handouts will be provided

Week of February 13: Mainstreaming for inclusive practices means that a school operates with a team approach in problem solving and decision making. Teaching practices are enhanced through collaborative efforts among educational professionals, community members, and parents. How are these practices different from traditional approaches to education? Why are teaming and collaboration necessary? How is teaming organized in schools? How do educators feel about teaming? How prepared do you feel for teaming as a professional practice? What do you need to learn about teaming?

Readings: Chapter 3 - Salend

•Assignment: Develop a two-page response to these questions - Due February 24.

Week of February 20: Every student has attitudes about people they view as "different." Some views are reasonable constructive while others, based on fear or lack of understanding, must be dealt with in the classroom. Making classrooms more inclusive means changing students' attitudes about diversity by educating them about diversity.

Reading: Chapter 4 - Salend

Assignment: Think of one strategy or technique to educate students about individual differences based on disability, culture, language, gender, or socioeconomic status. Type or word process your strategy. I will compile and distribute them to the class. Due March I.

Week of February 27: Students who are prepared for new learning environments tend to succeed once mainstreamed as opposed to those who are simply "dumped." What does it mean to prepare a child to be mainstreamed? What are

the demands of the mainstream? Why should students be prepared for the educational mainstream?

Reading: Chapter 5 - Salend

Weeks of March 13 and 20: As Jim Ysseldyde says, "Good teaching is good teaching!" Effective instruction benefits all learners. What are the characteristics of effective instruction? When is it best to use large- or small-group instruction? What are some ways to institute cooperative learning strategies (CLS)? When is it appropriate to use CLS and when is it not?

Reading: Chapter 6 - Salend; additional handouts will be provided.

MIDTERM EVALUATION WILL BE MARCH 22.

Week of March 27: What types of instructional practices are most appropriate for various diverse learners (i.e., what do you need to know in order to effectively teach a kid who is visually impaired, or, what are some effective methods in teaching kids with reading or writing disabilities?) These question are addressed in this week's reading. You will also search the professional journals for some other

Reading: Chapter 7 - Salend; Professional journal articles

•Assignment: Develop a professional strategy card catalogue (only 5 index cards for starters) that includes methods or strategies for teaching students with diverse learning needs. Read through the articles you choose, record the essential procedures which are explained in the article, and also list any materials you might need if you try out the strategy. [I'm trying to get you to develop good habits here, when we read articles that we think we might find useful, we tend to xerox the article rather than summarizing the article on a card. If you have the information you need on a card, why xerox the article??? You are also more likely to remember the "nuts and bolts" of the article if you have summarized the information in your own words.] Cards to be turned in by April 7.

Week of April 3: As we consider the content that is taught in general education classrooms, at the top of the list are basic skills in language arts; listening, speaking, reading, writing, and the complexities of phonology. How do we instruct students in these important areas? How do we modify our instruction to meet the students' learning needs? Math, science, and social studies are other instructional areas which deserve our attention. How do we adapt instruction in those subject areas?

•Assignment: Design a modified lesson plan for a particular student using one of Reading: Chapters 8 & 9 - Salend the ADAPT strategies that we discuss in class. Explain your plan to a peer in our class, get some feedback, and make any changes as necessary. Due April 17.

¥ 4 3 4 3

Week of April 10: Behavioral problems in the classroom are often a by-product of mainstreaming. That does not mean that we throw up our hands and say that mainstreaming doesn't work. It does mean that you need to be particularly prepared to deal with some of the challenging behaviors that some children may present in your classroom.

!

•Assignment: Collect baseline data. You can collect it on anyone's behavior. It could be on how many times your roommate whines in a given hour, it could be how frequently a roommate leaves dirty dishes (clothes, books/papers, etc., etc.) all over the place. It could also be on how many times I trip on furniture legs and cords in the front of the class. The only constraint is that it must be a behavior that you would like to change. You will develop a behavior modification plan based on the data you have collected. I will give you a format for this assignment. Due on 28.

Weeks of April 17 and 24: Whether you develop an academic plan or a behavioral plan to meet the needs of a particular student or a group of students, you need to evaluate the effectiveness of your intervention. How do you evaluate student's progress? If students are not making progress, what should you do? How should you grade students when they have done modified work? Can I teach students to do better on my assessments?

Assignment: none, in addition to discussing the topic, we will also be reviewing for the final exam.

Evaluation - in all written assignments, the following guidelines are used as criteria determining grade

Technical Aspect (basic writing skills)

- a. Sentence structure complete and grammatically correct
- b. Word usage appropriate form, tense, and person
- c. Punctuation and spelling correct usage and spelling
- d. Terminology appropriate use of professional terms

(refers to the quality of written information) Content

- a. Paper addresses the specific topic.
- b. Importance/relevance is specified.
- c. Reasoning, logic, and cohesiveness are apparent.
- d. Paper has a clear beginning, middle, and ending.
- e. Information supports conclusion.

Scholarship (refers to the degree to which the paper demonstrates formal

writing skills)

- a. Clarity and logic extent to which ideas are communicated clearly and logically developed
- b. Transitions coherence between sentences and paragraphs
- c. Paragraph construction internal consistency of ideas within paragraphs
- d. Conclusions extent to which conclusions follow and are consistent with earlier statements

Graded Assignments:

- #I. Collaboration/teaming paper (45 points)
- #2. Strategy (20 points)
- #3. Professional literature summary (25 points)
- #4. Observational summary/behavior management plan (30 points)

Non-graded Assignments (2 @ 10 points each) and Class Participation (I5 points) Mid-term evaluation:

The mid-term evaluation will consist of an objective section (40 points) and an essay (20 points)

Final Examination: The final examination is cumulative and contributes 160 points to the overall grade.

Grade Determination:

In determining grades for each student, cumulative points will be tallied for each student. Letter grades will be determined after rank-ordering the total points from highest to lowest. All letter grades will be based on the highest number of OBTAINED points (not POSSIBLE points), according to the following:

- The range of A's will be comprised of the scores that fall between 7% a. of the highest number of OBTAINED points.
- The range of B's will be comprised of the scores that fall between 7% and b. 14% of the highest number of OBTAINED points.
- The range of C's will be comprised of the scores that fall between 14% and C. 21% of the highest numbers of OBTAINED points.
- The range of D's will be comprised of the scores that fall between 21% and d. 28% of the highest numbers of OBTAINED points.

The utilization of such a grading system allows for everyone in the class to earn A's, yet it permits flexibility in the even that a student enrolls in the course

without the intention of completing the requirements of the course in a serious manner.

Final Word

Be encouraged, the topics examined in this course are interesting. As human beings, we are always interested in people different from ourselves. Unfortunately, sometimes we are only fearful of people who are different, rather than learning about differences and overcoming our own ignorance and fears. Most people who take this class enjoy it and find that they really learn a lot - you will too!

Bibliography

Adams, L. & Cessna, K. (1991). Designing systems to facilitate collaboration. Preventing School Failure, 35 (4), 37-42.

Adeigbola, M., Arott, L., Evans, D.W., Harris, D.M., & Houston, D. (1993). Restructuring special education services. <u>Teacher Education and Special Education</u>, 16 (2), 137-145.

Algozzine, B., Thurlow, M.L., Wotrube, J.W., & Ysseldyke, J.E. Instruction in special education classrooms under varying student-teacher ratios. <u>The Elementary School Journal</u>, 93 (3), 305-319.

Allington, R.L. (1990). Curriculum and at-risk learners: coherence or fragmentation? Price Reporters, 2! (3).

Athanases, S.Z. (1993). Adapting and tailoring lessons: fostering teacher selection to meet varied student needs. <u>Teacher Education Quarterly</u>, 7I-8I.

Bauwens, J., & Hourcade, J.J. (1991). Making co-teaching a mainstreaming strategy. Preventing School Failure, 35(4), 19-24.

Bauwnes, J., Friend, M., & Hourcade, J.J. (1989). Cooperative teaching: A model for general and special education integration. Remedial and Speech Education 10,(2), 17-22.

Boyd, W.L., & Lugg, C.A. (1993). Leadership for collaboration: Reducing risk and fostering resilience. Phi Delta Kappan, 253-258.

Cannon, G.S., & West, J.F. (1988). Essential collaborative consultation competencies for regular and special educators. <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 21

(1), 56-63.

Carta, J.J., & Greenwood, C.R. (1987). An ecobehavioral interaction analysis of instruction within special education. Focus on Exceptional Children, 19 (9), 1-11.

Cloninger, C., Dennis, R., Edelman, S., Giangreco, M.F., & Schattman, R. (1993). "I've counted jon":Transformational experiences of teachers education students with disabilities. <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 59 (4), 359-372.

Cook, & Friend. (1992). Applications of Collaboration in Special Services. 16-33.

Cook, L., & Friend, M. (1991). Collaboration in special education coming of age in the 1990's. Preventing School Failure, 35 (4), 24-27.

Cook, L., & Friend, M. (1991). Principles for the collaboration in schools. Preventing School Failure, 35 (4), 6-9.

Cook, L. & Friend, M. (1992). The New Mainstreaming, Instructor, 30-36.

Cronis, T.G., Justen III, J.E., Nickles, J.L., & Smith, G.J. (1992). Individualized education programs: A comparison of students with bd, ld, and mmr. <u>Intervention in school and Clinic</u>, 28 (1), 41-44.

Deshler, D.D., & Schumaker, J.B. (1988). Implementing the regular education initiative in secondary schools:a different ball game. <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 21 (1), 36-41.

Duffy, G.G. (1993), Rethinking strategy instruction: four teacher's development and their low achievers' understandings. <u>The Elementary School</u> Journal, 93 (3), 231-247.

Ellet, L. (1993). Instructional practices in mainstreamed secondary classrooms. Journal of Learning Disabilities, 26 (1), 57-64.

Epstein, M.H., Foley, R., Patton J.R., & Polloway, E.A. (1992)., Educational services for students with behavior disorders; a review of individualized education programs. <u>Teacher Education and Special Education</u>, 15 (1), 41-48.

Evans, S.B. (1991. A realistic look at the research base for collaboration in special education. <u>Preventing School Failure</u>, <u>35</u> (4), 10-13.

Fogarty, R. (1991). Ten ways to integrate curriculum. <u>Educational Leadership.</u> 61-65.

Gable, R.A., Korinek, L., & Laycock, V.K. (1991). Alternative structures for collaboration in the delivery of special services. <u>Preventing school Failure</u>, 35 (4) 15-18.

Gerber, S. (1991). Supporting the collaborative process. <u>Preventing School Failure.</u> 35 (4), 48-53.

Howell, P. (1991). Taking AIM to assist middle school students with special needs. Preventing School Failure, 35 (4), 43-47.

Johnson, L.J., & Pugach, M.C. (1988). Rethinking the relationship between consultation and collaborative problem solving. <u>Focus on Exceptional Children</u>, 21 (4), 1-14.

Learner Motivation. 63-81. (only information given)

٠. ..

Lehman, J., & Weidmeyer, D. (1991). The "house plan" approach to collaborative teaching and consultation. <u>Teaching Exceptional Children</u>, 6-10.

Male, M. (1991). Effective team participation. <u>Preventing School Failure</u>, 35 (4), 29-35.

Myles, B.S., & Simpson, R.L. (1990). The general education collaboration model; a model for successful mainstreaming. <u>Focus on Exceptional Children</u>, 23 (4), 1-10.

Price, J.P. (1991). Effective communication a key to successful collaboration. Preventing School Failure, 35 (4), 25-28. MAIL> extract tt:

From: GROVE::KUZNESKI "JODELL KUZNESKI" 22-NOV-1996 08:28:51.60

To: JWBUTZOW, RCNOWELL, JWDOMARA

CC: @UWUCC

Subj: UWUCC response to your request

Following your attendance at the UWUCC meeting on October 29, the committee had a lengthy discussion of your request to consider the proposals for the new course, EX 301, and the course revision for EX 300 separate from the program revision proposals for all teacher education programs. At the conclusion of our discussion a motion was made and passed. As you (Dr. Butzow) stated in your recent email, you have talked with several UWUCC members who have given several different accounts of the outcome of that meeting. That is indeed my rationale for waiting until the committee met again on November 19 so that the motion could be clarified and the minutes from the October 29 meeting approved.

Now that we have met, I am sharing the committee's decision pertaining to your request.

The UWUCC presents the proposers with two options:

OPTION 1: Utilize the special topics designation (EX281 or 481) for the implementation of the new course as an interim measure until a time when you have the program revision proposals for all the teacher education programs approved. For our consideration of the proposals, letters of support from all affected departments, new catalog copy for all programs, answers to the resource questions previously stated, signatures from all deans and an updated signature from Mark must be included.

OPTION 2: The UWUCC would accept for consideration the proposals for the new course and the course revision with statements of support from all affected departments along with program revisions from the departments that currently require EX 301.

Please contact me if further clarification of these options is needed.

J. Kuzneski