LSC Use Only	No:	LSC Action-Date:	UWUCC USE Only No	 UWUCC Action-Date: 	Senate Action Date:
*			04-48e	AP3-22-05	A-4-26-05

Curriculum Proposal Cover Sheet - University-Wide Undergraduate Curriculum Committee

sawn@iup.edu
Phone
7-2133
eparate cover sheet for each course proposal and
The control of the c
•

1.	Course Proposals (check all that application) New Course	ly)Course Prefix ChangeCourse Dele	tion			
	Course Revision	Course Number and/or Title ChangeCatalog Des	Catalog Description Change			
		ANTH 325 Archaeological La	ab Methods			
	Current Course prefix, number and fu	Il title <u>Proposed</u> course prefix, number and fu	ll title, if changing			
2.	2. Additional Course Designations: check if appropriate This course is also proposed as a Liberal Studies Course. This course is also proposed as an Honors College Course. Description: Other: (e.g., Women's Studies, Pan-African)					
3.	Program Proposals	Catalog Description ChangeProgra	m Revision			
	New Degree Program	Program Title ChangeOther				
	New Minor Program	New Track				
	<u>Current</u> program name	<u>Proposed</u> program name, if changing				
4.	Approvals		Date			
į	Department Curriculum Committee	Sarah W. Mensius	1/24/05			
	Chair(s)	1	/ /			
	Department Chair(s)	Shilly Wenin	1-25-05			
	College Curriculum Committee Chair	Sail Sal	2/2/05			
	College Dean	Ann	2/2/05			
	Director of Liberal Studies *					
	Director of Honors College *					
	Provost *					
A	Additional signatures as appropriate:					
	(include title)	2 100 1				
	UWUCC Co-Chairs	Guil Schust	3-22-05			

* where applicable



1.Syllabus of Record

I. Catalog Description

ANTH 325 Archaeological Lab Methods

3c-01-3cr

3 class hours

0 lab hours

3 credit hours

Prerequisites: ANTH 244

A hands-on introduction to the study of artifacts and other cultural materials recovered from archaeological excavations. Experience in the specific methods of analysis in archaeological lab settings focusing on the analysis of stone artifacts, pre-Columbian ceramics, and historic artifacts. Analytic techniques will include classification, quantification of attributes and reporting of the results of the analyses.

II. Course Objectives

Students will be able to

- 1.) Understand the responsibilities that archaeologists have for the care and long term curation of artifacts.
- 2.) Catalogue artifacts using the Pennsylvania state system.
- 3.) Understand the difference between artifact cataloging and analysis. Learn basic methods for identifying lithic, ceramic, and historic artifacts. Learn some basic laboratory processes such as flotation analysis, separating and identifying botanical items from flotation samples, and some basic faunal identification.
- 4.) Understand how specific methods for analyzing artifacts relate to specific theoretical approaches and research questions and how certain methods of analysis can be used in a variety of research designs.
- 5.) Conduct different types of artifact analysis and use statistics and graphs to describe the results of the analysis.
- 6.) Effectively communicate the results of artifact analysis through both written and oral presentations.

III. Course Outline

- A. Introduction to Artifact Cataloging and Curation (3 hours)
 - 1. Artifact record keeping during excavations
 - 2. Initial laboratory processing
 - 3. Artifact Cataloging
 - 4. Pennsylvania State System for Artifact Cataloging
 - 5. Issues with artifact curation
- B. Lithic Analysis (11 hours)
 - 1. Chipped Stone Tools
 - 2. Byproducts and Debitage
 - 3. Raw material types
 - 4. Ground and Pitted Stone
 - 5. What can you learn from analyzing lithics?

Exam 1 (1 hour)

- C. PreColumbian Ceramics (11 hours)
 - 1. Fabric analysis
 - 2. Temper and inclusions
 - 3. Shape and function
 - 4. Using pottery to determine dates, trade, and status

Exam 2 (1 hour)

- D. Historic Ceramics and other artifacts (11 hours)
 - 1. Historic ceramics identification
 - 2. Historic ceramics consumer choice and pattern analysis
 - 3. Metal artifacts care and handling
 - 4. Glass artifacts dating bottles
 - 5. Issues with the curation of historic artifacts
 - 6. Use of functional groups in historic artifact analysis

Exam 3 (1 hour)

- E. Ecofacts: The analysis of botanical and faunal remains (3 hours)
 - 1. Processing ecofacts
 - 2. Identification of ecofacts

Poster presentations (2 hours during period scheduled for final exam)

IV. Evaluation Methods

The final grade will be determined as follows:

40% Exams. Three in-class exams. Each will include the identification of artifact types and essay questions on the interpretation of an archaeological analysis.

20% Independent Artifact Analysis and Poster presentation. Each student will choose a set of artifacts and use one of the types of analysis discussed in class to analyze the artifacts. Students will then present the results of this analysis as a poster presentation.

40% Class Analysis Exercises Each week during the semester, students will conduct an in-class artifact analysis exercise.

V.Grading Scale

A: <u>>90%</u> B:80-89% C:70-79% D:60-69% F:<60%

VI. Attendance Policy Although there is no formal attendance policy for this course, student learning is enhanced by regular attendance. In class assignments contribute to a substantial portion of the class grade and can not be made up.

VII. Required textbooks, supplemental books and readings

Two textbooks are required for the course:

Patterson, Thomas C. 1994. The Theory and Practice of Archaeology: A Workbook (Second Edition)

Sutton, Mark Q. and Brooke S Arkush. 2001. Archaeological Laboratory Methods: An Introduction (Third

Edition)

Other readings:

Rice, Patricia. 1997. Doing Archaeology: A Hands-On Laboratory Manual. Mayfield Publishing Company

Andrefsky, William Jr. 1998. *Lithics: Macroscopic approaches to Analysis*. Cambridge Manuels in Archaeology. Cambridge University Press

Orton, Clive, Paul Tyers, and Alan Vince. 1993. *Pottery in Archaeology*. Cambridge Manuels in Archaeology. Cambridge University Press

The Pennsylvania State Museum. 2004. Curation Guidelines. Pennsylvania Historical and Museum Commission

Additional readings to be added as needed

VIII. Special Resource Requirements

Current resources are adequate. As needed, departmental ESF will be used to purchase lab supplies and upgrade analytic equipment.

IX. Bibliography

Lithic Artifact Analysis

- Anderson, P.C. 1980. A Testimony of Prehistoric Tasks: Diagnostic Residues on Stone Tool Working Edges. World Archaeology 12:181-194.
- Bamforth, Douglas B. 1988. Investigating Microwear Polishes with Blind Tests: The Institute Results in Context. Journal of Archaeological Science 15: 11-23.
- Cotterell, B., and J. Kamminga. 1979. The Mechanics of Flaking. In: Lithic Use-Wear Analysis. Brian Hayden, ed., pp.97-112. New York. Academic Press.
- Domanski, Marian, and John A. Webb. 1992. Effect of Heat Treatment on Siliceous Rocks Used in Prehistoric Lithic Technology. Journal of Field Archaeology 19: 601-614.
- Flenniken, J. Jeffrey. 1981. Replicative Systems Analysis: A Model Applied to Vein Quartz Artifacts from the Hoko River Site. Washington State University Laboratory of Anthropology Reports of Investigations No. 59.
- Flenniken, J. Jeffrey. 1984. The Past, Present, and Future of Flintknapping: An Anthropological Perspective. Annual Review of Anthropology 123:187-203.
- Flenniken, J. Jeffrey. 1991. The Diamond Lil Site: Projectile Point Fragments as Indicators of Site Function. Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology 13(2):180-193.
- Flenniken, J. Jeffrey and Anan Raymond. 1986. Morphological Projectile Point Typology: Replication, Experimentation, and Technological Analysis. American Antiquity 51(3): 603-614.
- Henry, D.O. and George H. Odell (eds.). 1989. Alternative Approaches to Lithic Analysis. Archaeological Papers of the American Anthropological Association No. 1.
- Holmes, D. 1990. Review of Alternative Approaches to Lithic Analysis. Antiquity 64:429-431.
- Juel Jensen, H. 1988. Functional Analysis of Prehistoric Flint Tools by High-Powered Microscopy: A Review of Western European Research.
- Newcomer, M. H. and L. H. Keeley. 1979. Testing a Method of Microwear Analysis with Experimental Flint Tools. In: Lithic Use-Wear Analysis, Brian Hayden, ed. Pp. 195-205. New York.
- Nielsen, Axel E. 1991. Trampling the Archaeological Record: An Experimental Study. American Antiquity 56(3): 483-503.
- Plew, Mark G., James C. Woods, and Max G. Pavesic (eds.). 1985. Stone Tool Analysis: Essays in Honor of Don E. Crabtree. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press.
- Scott, Sara A. 1981. Problems with the Use of Flake Size in Inferring Stages of Lithic Reduction. Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology 13(2): 172-179.
- Sheets, Payson. 1975. Behavioral Analysis and the Structure of a Prehistoric Industry. Current Anthropology 16:369-378.

- Titmus, Gene L. and James C. Woods. 1986. An Experimental Study of Projectile Point Fracture Patterns. Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology 8(1):37-49.
- Vaughn, P. 1985. Use-Wear Analysis of Flaked Stone Tools. Tuscon: University of Arizona Press.

Ground Stone Analysis

- Aikens, C. Melvin. 1970. Hogup Cave. University of Utah Anthropological Papers No. 93
- Clark, John E. 1988. The Lithics of La Libertad, Chiapas, Mexico: An Economic Perspective. Brigham Young University, Papers of the New World Archaeological Foundation No. 52.
- Fratt, Lee and Jennie L. Adams (eds). 1993. New Trends in Ground Stone Research: It's Not the Same Old Grind. Kiva 58(3): 313-428. Issue devoted to "ground stone" symposium presented at the 1991 Society for American Archaeology Meeting.
- Hayden, Brian. 1987. Lithic Studies Among the Contemporary Highland Maya. Tuscon: University of Arizona Press.
- Yohe, Robert M., Margaret M. Newman, and Joan S. Schneider. 1991. Immunological Identification of Small Mammal Proteins on Aboriginal Milling Equipment. American Antiquity 56(4) 659-666.

Prehistoric Ceramic Analysis

- Bennett, M. Ann. 1974. Basic Ceramic Analysis. Portales: Eastern New Mexico Contributions in Anthropology 6(1).
- Colton, Harold S. 1939. The Reducing Atmosphere and Oxidizing Atmosphere in Prehistoric Southwestern Ceramics. American Antiquity 4(3): 224-231.
- -----. 1951. Hopi Pottery Firing Temperatures. Plateau 24: 73-76.
- Colton, Harold S., and Lyndon L. Hargrave. 1937. Handbook of Northern Arizona Pottery Wares. Flagstaff: Museum of Northern Arizona Bulletin N. 11.
- Dean, Patricia, and Kathleen M. Heath. 1990. Form and Function: Understanding Gray Pottery in the Northeastern Great Basin. In: Hunter-Gatherer Pottery from the Far West, Joanne M. Mack, ed., pp 19-28. Nevada State Museum Anthropological Papers No. 23.
- De Atley, Suzanne P., M. James Blackman, and Jacqueline S. Olin. 1982. Comparison of Data Obtained by Neutron Activation and Electron Microprobe Analysis of Ceramics. In: Archaeological Ceramics, J.S. Olin and A.D. Franklin, eds., pp 79-88.
- Fewkes, V. J. 1941. The Function of the Paddle and Anvil in Pottery Making. American Antiquity 7(2): 162-164.
- Hagstron, Melissa B., and John H. Hildebrand. 1990. The Two-Curvature Method for Reconstructing Ceramic Morphology. American Antiquity 55(2) 388-403.
- Hamer, Frank. 1975. The Potter's Dictionary of Materials and Techniques. London: Pitman.
- Hargraves, Lyndon L. 1974. Type Determinants in Southwestern Ceramics and Some of their Implications. Plateau 46(3):76-95.
- Heron, Carl and L.J. Goad. 1993. The Analysis of Organic Residues and the Study of Pottery Use. In: Archaeological Method and Theory, Vol. 5, Michael B. Schiffer, ed., pp 247-286. Tuscon: University of Arizona Press.
- Heron, Carl, Richard P. Evershed, and L.J. Goad. 1991. Effects of Migration of Soil Lipids on Organic Residues Associated with Buried Potsherds. Journal of Archaeological Science 18:641-659
- Hill, David V. 1994. Technological Analysis: Making and Using Ceramics on Black Mesa. In: Function and Technology of Anasazi Ceramics from Black Mesa, Arizona, M.F. Smith, Jr., ed. Pp. 23-54, Southern Illinois University at Carbondale Center for Archaeological Investigations Occasional Paper No. 15
- Holstein, H. O. 1973. Replication of Late Woodland Ceramics from Western Pennsylvania. Pennsylvania Archaeologist 43(3-4) 75-85.
- Payne, William O. 1982.. Kilns and Ceramic Technology of Ancient Mesoamerica. In: Archaeological Ceramics, J.S. Olin and A.D. Franklin, eds., pp. 189-192. Washington: Smithsonian Institution.
- Plog, Stephen E. 1978. Social Interaction and Stylistic Similarity: A Reanalysis. In: Advances in Archaeological Method and Theory No. 1, Michael Schiffer, ed., pp. 143-182. New York: Academic Press.
- Senior, Louise M. and Dunbar P. Birnie, III. 1995. Accurately Estimating Vessel Volume from Profile Illustrations. American Antiquity 60(2): 319-334.
- Shepherd, Anna O. 1980. Ceramics for the Archaeologist (11th Edition) Washington: Cernegie Institution of Washington (Reprinted Baun-Brumfield, Inc., Ann Arbor, 1985)

Skibo, James M., Michael B. Shiffer, and Kenneth C. Reid. 1989. Organic Tempered Pottery: An Experimental Study. American Antiquity 54(1): 122-146.

Shell and Bone Artifact Analysis

- Claussen, Cheryl and Samuella Sigmann. 1993. Sourcing *Busycon* Artifacts of the Eastern United States. American Antiquity 58(2):333-347.
- Crabtree, Pam J. 1985. Historic Zooarchaeology: Some Methodological Considerations, Historical Archaeology 19(1):76-78.
- Gifford, E. W. 1940. California Bone Artifacts. University of California Anthropological Records 3(2)
- Gifford, E. W. 1947. California Shell Artifacts. University of California Anthropological Records 9(1)
- Gilbert, B. Miles. 1982. Mammalian Osteology. B. Miles Gilbert, Laramie, Wyoming.
- Gilbert, B. M., L. D. Martin and H. Savage. 1981. Avian osteology. B. Miles Gilbert, Laramie, Wyoming
- Grayson, Donald K. 1984. Quantitative Zooarchaeology. Orlando: Academic Press
- Lyman, R. Lee 1994. Vertebrate Taphonomy, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Morris, Percy A. 1966. A Field Guide to Pacific Coast Shells, Including Shells of Hawaii and the Gulf of California. (The Peterson Field Guide Series) Boston: Houghton-Mifflin Co.
- Morris, Percy A. 1973. A Field Guide to Shells of the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts. (The Peterson Field Guide Series) Boston: Houghton-Mifflin Co.
- Orchard, William C. 1929. Beads and Beadwork of the American Indian: A Study Based on Specimens in the Museum of the American Indian, Heye Foundation. Contributions from the Museum of the American Indian, Heye Foundation 11.

Historic Artifact Analysis

- Ball, J.W. 1984. Historic artifact patterning in the Ohio Valley. Proceedings of the Symposium on Ohio Valley Urban and Historic Archaeology.
- Catts, W.P. and J.F. Custer. 1990. Tenant Farmers, Stone Masons, and Black Laborers: Final Archaeological Investigations of the Thomas Williams Site, Glasgow, New Castle County, Delaware. Department of Transportation Archaeological Series No. 82.
- Deagan, Kathleen A. 2002. Ceramics, Glassware, and Beads (Artifacts of the Spanish Colonies of Florida and the Caribbean, 1500-1800 Volume 1). Smithsonian Books
- Deetz, James. 1972. Ceramics in Plymouth, 1625-1835: The Archaeological Evidence. In *Ceramics in America:*Proceedings of the 1972 Winterthur Conference, edited by Ian M. G. Quimby, 15-40. The University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia.
- Drennan, Robert D. 1996. Statistics for Archaeologists: A Commonsense Approach (Interdisciplinary Contributions to Archaeology) Kluwer Academic Publishers
- Gates, W.C., Jr., and D.E. Ormerod. 1982. The East Liverpool, Ohio, Pottery District: Identification of Manufacturers and Marks. Historical Archaeology 16(1-2).
- Herman, B. L. 1987. Architectural and Rural Life in Central Delaware, 1700-1900. The University of Tennessee Press, Knoxville Kentucky.
- Gillio, David, Francis Levine, and Douglas Scott. 1980. Some Common Artifacts found at Historical Sites. U.S.D.A. Forest Service Cultural Resources Report, No. 31.
- Ketchum, W.C., Jr. 1991. American Stoneware. Henry Holt, New York.
- Lorrain, D. 1968. An Archaeologist's Guide to Nineteenth Century American Glass. Historical Archaeology 2:35-44.
- Luscomb, S.C. 1967. The Collector's Encyclopedia of Buttons. Bonanza Books, New York.
- McBride, Kevin and McBride. 1987. Socioeconomic Variation in a Mid-Nineteenth Century Southern Town: A View from Archaeological and Documentary Sources. Paper presented at the 1985 Society for Historical Archaeology meetings, Boston, Massachusetts.
- Miller, G.L. 1991. A Revised Set of CC Index Values for Classification and Economic Scaling of English Ceramics from 1787 to 1880. Historical Archaeology 25:1-25.
- Noel Hume, I. 1969. A Guide to Artifacts of Colonial America. Alfred Knoff, New York.
- Nelson, Lee H. 1968. Nail Chronology as an Aid to Dating Old Buildings. American Association for State and Local History Technical Leaflet 48.

- Otto, J.S. 1977. Artifacts and Status Differences-A Comparison of Ceramics from Planter, Overseer, and Slave Sites on an Antebellum Plantation. In *Research Strategies in Historical Archaeology*, edited by Stanley South, pp. 91-118. Academic Press, New York, New York.
- Rye, Owen S. 1987. Pottery Technology: Principles and Reconstruction (Manuals on archeology), University of Chicago Press
- South, Stanley. 1964. Analysis of the Buttons from Brunswick Town and Fort Fisher. Florida Anthropologist 17(2): 113-33.
- South, Stanley. 1977. Methods and Theory in Historical Archaeology. Academic Press, New York, New York.
- Spencer-Wood, Suzanne M. 1987. Consumer Choice in Historical Archaeology. Plenum Press, New York, New York.
- Tryon, Rolla Milton. 1966. Household Manufacturers in the United States, 1640-1860. University of Chicago Press, Chicago

Course Analysis Questionnaire

Section A: Details of the Course

- A1. This course change is designed for majors in the Anthropology Department Archaeology Track. One of the concerns of the Archaeology faculty in the Anthropology Department has been to provide our students with sufficient real world skills for either the job market or as they attend graduate school. In the past, we have incorporated some archaeological lab experience into two courses, ANTH 320, the Archaeological Field School and ANTH 317, Archaeological Research Design and Lab Methods. However, in neither course is there sufficient time to provide students with intensive training in basic analytic techniques for archaeological materials and in the more sophisticated types of analysis that are currently required for the field. This new course ANTH 325 is a course in basic lab methods in archeology.
- A2. No, except for the deletion of ANTH 317 which is included in this revision packet.
- A3. No.
- A4. No.
- A5. The course is not to be taken for variable credit.
- A6. Examples of similar courses taught at other universities include:

University of Arkansas in Fayetteville ANTH 4353 Laboratory Methods in Archaeology University of Northern British Columbia ANTH 301 - Archaeological Lab Methods Pennsylvania State University ANTH 562- Laboratory Methods in Anthropology

A7. The Society for American Archaeology has a NSF funded undergraduate curriculum reform project called "Making Archaeological Teaching Relevant for the 21st Century (MATRIX). The program emphasizes the development and revision of courses that incorporate seven principles for curricular reform developed by a SAA Task Force. This course is designed in response to Principle 7, which concerns the development of fundamental disciplinary skills in fieldwork and laboratory analysis and promotes effective learning via the incorporation of problem solving. More information on the Matrix program is available from the project website http://www.indiana.edu/~arch/saa/matrix/principles.html

Section B: Interdisciplinary Implications

- B1. The course will be taught only by instructors from the Anthropology Department.
- B2. This course will not overlap with the content of courses taught by other departments.

- B3. The course will not be cross-listed.
- B4. Seats can be made available to students in the School of Continuing Education on a limited basis.

Section C: Implementation

- C1. Faculty resources are adequate. This course will be taught as part of the normal course rotation approximately every fourth semester.
- C2a. Current space allocations are adequate to offer this course.
- b. Some additional laboratory equipment is necessary for this course. These will be purchased through department's ESF allocation
- c. Some additional laboratory supplies are necessary for this course. These will be purchased through the departments ESF allocation.
- d. Library holdings are adequate.
- e. There are no travel expenses.
- C3. None of the course activities are funded by grants.
- C4. We plan to offer the course once every other year, starting in the Fall of 2006.
- C5. We plan to offer one section every other year.
- C6. Up to 24 students can be accommodated in this class because of the hands-on nature of the laboratory exercises as well as the number of student seats in the department's laboratory classroom.
- C7. No.
- C8. This course is not a distance education course

Section D: Miscellaneous

No additional information is included.