

Minutes of University Senate Meeting - October 2, 1973

The meeting of the University Senate was called to order by Chairman Thomas Goodrich on Tuesday, October 2, at 3:45 P.M. A quorum was present.

On a motion from Senator Sahli, seconded by Senator Chamberlin, the minutes of the May 15 and 17, 1973, meetings were accepted. The chairman announced that the Board of Trustees approved these Senate actions at their July 20, 1973, meeting.

Chairman Goodrich reminded members of the purpose of the University Senate and of their attendant responsibilities as senators. He urged committees to meet their full complement of membership.

Referring to a letter from Commissioner of Education Jerome Ziegler, requesting representatives from IUP Senate to a state-wide committee of senators, Chairman Goodrich indicated that he and Senator Lorrie Bright will be delegate and alternate, respectively, until a permanent representative is selected. The chairman also urged liaison with Harrisburg, specifically with the Legislature and PDE.

Senator Strawcutter, Chairman of Committee B₁, presented the committee's recommendations as follows:

1. Function of Senate Committee B₁

It will be the function of Committee B₁, the Committee on Academic Procedure, to include in its purview matters relating to the academic life of the University. Committee B₁ is authorized to recommend Senate action on any academic procedure not designated for Committee B₂, the Curriculum Committee.

Without intending to be restrictive as to its province, the Committee considers the following areas to be its concern:

- Maintenance and recognition of academic excellence
- Academic continuation, probation, and dismissal
- Admission standards
- The grading system
- Transfer of credits
- Scholarships
- Emeritus awards
- Honorary degree awards
- Adjudication of academic grievances
- Calendar review

It was moved by Senator Gillis and seconded by Senator Chamberlin to amend the original motion by deleting the item, "Calendar review." Senator Gillis reasoned that automatic "calendar review"

is not within the province of Senate jurisdiction and questioned the legality of its inclusion in Committee B₁'s function, in light of the present APSCUF/PAHE contract. Senator Smith suggested that review, in this context, meant recommendation and considered it an item which could be presented at the meet-and-discussion sessions.

Placing the Senate's role in calendar review in an historical context, Senator Hazley stated that after an arbitrary change of the calendar by the Council of Deans at an earlier date, a special meeting of the Senate was called to resolve the question. At that time, the issue of the calendar was determined to be Senate matter and that Dr. Hassler supported this suggestion. Subsequently, this was proposed to and passed by the Senate.

Speaking to the amendment, Senators Ross, Leary, and Gates indicated that the Senate is the only vehicle for student input regarding the calendar. Senators Gillis and Strawcutter responded that when academic standards of the university are involved, Committee B₁ does review the calendar.

The amendment to the motion was defeated. The original motion as presented by Senator Strawcutter and seconded by Senator Smith was passed.

It was moved by Senator Strawcutter, seconded by Senator McGovern, to accept the following recommendations governing the audit of a course:

- a. Audit would make catalog listed courses available to full-time and part-time undergraduate students and to all graduate students.
- b. No more than one course would be audited per semester.
- c. Registration procedure for audit would be consistent with current practices for credit courses.
- d. The number of credits of an audit course would be included with other course credits during registration to determine student status and toward the 17 credit hour maximum per semester.
- e. Audit fees would be consistent with normal credit course fees.
- f. Satisfaction of a course audit would result in the word "audit" appearing after the course in the student transcript.
- g. The auditors of a course are obligated to obtain from the instructor, and the instructor of a course is obligated to inform the auditors.

of the minimum qualifications which will satisfy the audit.

In answering Senator Landon's question as to the differences between audit and the Pass-Fail system, Senator Strawcutter stated that the Pass-Fail system is not open to Freshmen, is not open to General Education courses, and is limited to one course per semester. It does not carry the same mandates as when a student receives a grade. Senator Smith pointed out that credit is given for Pass-Fail but not for audit.

Ultimately, the motion was withdrawn without a recommendation that it be sent back to committee.

Senator Strawcutter moved, with the second by Senator Beck, that the following report of previous committee meetings be included in the Senate minutes.

a. Academic Grievance Number 1

Having received communications from the several individuals involved and having (tape) recorded statements of clarification and having evaluated these findings, the Committee adopted the following motion by Senator Smith, seconded by Senator Lucker.

"I move that the Chairman be instructed to communicate the following to the several principals of this matter:

The Committee does not find evidence of a violation of academic procedures and therefore dismisses the appeal."

b. Academic Grievance Number 2

At its weekly meeting Monday, May 14, the University Senate Ad Hoc Committee on Academic Grievance reviewed your petition of April 23, which was received by Chairman Strawcutter May 7. It was the unanimous decision of the Committee that your complaint does not come within the jurisdiction of the Committee, since the Committee finds no alleged violations of academic procedure.

The motion passed unanimously.

It was moved by Chairman of Committee F, Senator Hadley, and seconded by Senator Lenglet that the Senate approve the following committee recommendations:

1. Approved new advisors to student organizations:

Theta Xi Fraternity, Robert S. Strock
Business Management Department

Black Student League, Bill Lindsey, EOP Program
Ben Miller, Chairman, Art Department

2. Recognized new student organizations:

IUP Veterans Club, Dr. John Frank, Advisor

Association of Business Systems Analysts,
Mr. Leslie Spencer, Business Management Department

Homophiles of IUP, Mr. John Archibald, Advisor
Sociology-Anthropology Department

Senator Hadley said that since Mr. John Archibald, designated advisor of Homophiles of IUP, has left campus, that organization was without an advisor. Senator Rawleigh volunteered to serve in that capacity, subject to the approval of the organization. Passed.

It was moved by Senator Lenglet, seconded by Senator Hazley, that the following Capital Budget Submission 1974-75, as recommended by Committee G, be approved by the Senate:

<u>Priority</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Project</u>
1	Original Equipment, Women's Health and Physical Educ. Bldg. (407-47)
2	Original Equipment, Graduate Hall/ Computer Center (407-49)
3	Original Equipment, Education Center (407-48)
4	John Sutton Hall Renovation
5	Utility Renovation
6	Land Acquisition (County Farm)
7	University Services Building
8	Keith Parking (407-50)

Senator Ferrara questioned if it was possible to make such long-range determinations, in light of the current budgetary crisis and especially with the needs of the Library. Senator Lenglet explained that since items 1, 2, and 3 had been approved by the Legislature and item 6 was being implemented, changes in these cannot be made.

The motion for approval was passed.

Senator Chamberlin, Chairman of Committee H, submitted the following for Senate information only:

1. The first priority of the committee will be to complete the code of ethics. Particular attention is to be paid to the methods of enforcement.
2. The relationship between Committee H and the Faculty Association will be explored.

Senator Hazley, Chairman of Committee A, announced that that group will meet on Thursday, October 4, at 3:15 P.M. in Room 117. Replacement of two student senators, nominees for Senate Committees as needed, and nominations for Commissioner Ziegler's Committee of Senate Representatives will be considered.

Chairman Goodrich presented the resolution from Millersville State College Senate, attached as Appendix A. It was moved by Senator Heiges, seconded by Senator Ferrara, that the IUP University Senate should go on record as endorsing the resolution and should so notify the Millersville Senate and Commissioner of Education Ziegler. The motion was passed.

The meeting adjourned at 5:20 P.M.

Alice Louise Davis
Alice Louise Davis
Secretary

APPENDIX A

TO: Mr. Jerome M. Ziegler
Commissioner of Higher Education

FROM: Millersville State College Faculty Senate

SUBJECT: Resolution Concerning Long Range Planning for the
State Colleges of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

DATE: September 18, 1973

The Millersville State College Faculty Senate agrees with the Commissioner of Higher Education that changes are demanded in programs of the Pennsylvania State College and University System.

The Senate regards this matter as of such great importance that we must question the establishment of immediate deadlines. Immediate deadlines may precipitate long term decisions lacking an adequate foundation based on thoughtful examination of the needs of the State and determination of the optimum role for the State College and University System in meeting them.

There are many ways to change a good system to its detriment, few to its benefit. The Millersville Faculty Senate has written this letter to help insure that in our zeal we do not confuse progress with change for the sake of change.

The Senate recognizes that the statement that, ".... within 5 years 50% of the budget will be redirected..." is not intended to be quantitatively precise, and suggests that some clarification would be helpful.

We, therefore, recommend that:

1. The State response to the first proposals from the several colleges include evaluation in terms of documented State needs even if this requires that:
2. further deadlines be restated, and that:
3. reference to budgets and budgetary revisions be avoided until their magnitude can be established from real plans.

/SS/