

UNIVERSITY SENATE MINUTES--SEPTEMBER 29, 1970

The first regularly scheduled meeting of the University Senate for the 1970-71 school year was called to order by the Vice Chairman, Lawrence A. Ianni, at 4:00 p.m. in Cogswell Auditorium on Tuesday, September 29, 1970. A quorum was present. The minutes of the special meeting of February 24, 1970, which was continued on March 10, 1970, were approved. The minutes of the regular meeting held on April 21, 1970, and continued on April 28, May 5, and May 12 were also approved.

Raymond D. Gibson, Chairman of Committee A (Nominating) moved and James W. Laughlin seconded to approve the report of the committee and accept the two nominees. The motion carried to approve the following:

"The Nominating Committee will conduct an election to fill one vacancy that exists on the Consultative Committee for the Revision of the Rules and Regulations of the University Senate. Marlin Hartman and James Wilson have agreed to allow their names to be placed in nomination. A biographical sketch of each nominee is attached to the agenda."

The floor was opened for additional nominations and there were none. Lawrence F. Litty moved and Edward Hauck seconded to close nominations. The motion carried. Ballots were then distributed, marked, and collected. James Wilson was elected.

Ronald L. Marks, Chairman of Committee B (Steering) moved and Margaret L. Beck seconded to approved the report of the committee. The motion carried to approve:

"The Vice-Chairman of the University Senate requested that the steering committee rule on his eligibility to continue as vice-chairman during the second semester when his instructional load will be reduced while he assumes the duties of acting chairman of the English department during the sabbatical of Dr. Craig Swauger. The steering committee on consultation with parliamentarian Dr. Raymond Lee, ruled that he is eligible since the load reduction is only temporary and since he will receive no administrative increment for executing these duties."

Ida Z. Arms, Chairman, reported for Committee C (Curriculum) and announced three corrections to the agenda for this committee report. She then moved and Daniel G. Reiber seconded to approve items I, II, and III of the report. The motion carried and approved:

"I. New course proposals approved by the Committee: A. Courses approved by the Curriculum Committee of the School of Arts and Sciences: 1. History 491-492, History Honors 3 credits each; 2. Literary Britain (Tour) 6 credits when offered during Main Session, 3 credits when offered during Pre or Post Session; 3. Geo Sci 491 GeoScience Seminar 1 credit. B. Courses approved by the Curriculum Committee of the School of Education: 1. Ed. Psy. 383 The Education of the Disadvantaged Child 3 crs.; 2. Ed. Psy. 384 Tutorial Experience in the Public Schools 3 crs.; 3. Ed. Psy. 450 Independent Study in Educational Psychology 3 crs.; 4. Ed. Psy. 380 Mental Hygiene in the Classroom 3 crs.; 5. Ed. Psy. 371 Group Behavior in the Classroom 3 crs.; 6. Education 457 Teaching of Physics 3 crs.

"II. Approved the proposal from the Department of Nursing, with previous approval by the Curriculum Committee of the School of Health Services, of a curricular program leading to a B.S. in Nursing for the Registered Nurse.

"III. Approved the establishment of a Computer Science Department. This approval is given with the understanding that revision of courses and programs now involving the Mathematics Department, Business and Distributive Education Department, and Business Management Department will be submitted to the Curriculum Committee as they are developed."

Ida Z. Arms said that with regard to item IV the chairmen of the departments submitting the proposals assured the committee that members of the departments were willing to take on the additional duties. She moved, Robert K. Alico seconded, and the motion carried to approve:

"IV. The Curriculum Committee goes on record that its approval of courses and programs, such as the Honors program, Independent Study and Tutorial Study, in no way suggests that these courses be handled in addition to normal faculty load."

Ida Z. Arms moved, Robert L. Morris seconded, and it carried to approve:

"V. The following actions of the Curriculum Committee were taken in order to clarify existing situations: A. If a course is submitted in fulfillment of University Curriculum requirements where, in University publications, taking the course is required but it is not explicitly stated that it must be passed, the Committee ruled that the course must be passed.

"B. Upon examination of the General Education requirements as stated in the current catalogue and as listed in the Curriculum Book (The Green Book) adopted in 1966 there is a discrepancy with regard to using a foreign language to satisfy part of the Humanities requirement. According to the Curriculum Book students who are not Arts and Science Students may use any 6 S.H. sequence. The catalogue implies that it must be Foreign Language III and IV. Apparently one sentence was missed in the preparation of the catalogue. The Committee agreed that the requirements as stated in the Green Book should be allowed.

Grace Marlin moved and Edward Hauck seconded to consider two academic calendars which had been distributed to persons coming in to the Senate meeting even though the agenda listed no report for Committee D (Academic Standards). The motion carried. Bernard T. Gillis, chairman of the committee, moved and Robert M. Hofmann seconded to adopt the calendar for the second semester of the 1970-1971 school year.

James W. Laughlin spoke with regard to the freshman orientation. He requested consideration from the committee for this activity and said freshman should not be here for more than four days before the other students. The calendar allowed eleven days and this was too long. Gillis said one of his first duties upon arriving was to prepare a calendar. He said he was ignorant of many items that should have been considered. He suggested consideration of the basic dates such as the start of the semester and its close. Dale M. Shafer asked about the study days the students requested before finals. Gillis said he did not see a charge to the Academic Standards Committee in the Constitution to prepare a calendar. It was listed as a part of his duties so he prepared the calendar. Blaine C. Crooks said the February 2 meeting of the Senate was close to the semester break and the agenda would have to be sent out during the semester break. He asked if the date could be set farther away from the semester break. Ianni said the dates of Senate meetings were influenced by the dates of the meetings of the Board of Trustees.

John E. Merryman asked that the last teaching date be included in the academic calendar. Thomas D. Goodrich said he felt that the last day of instruction was more important to him than the start of finals or the end of the finals. Robert Mullock asked if there was to be a change in the way students would schedule for the next semester. Gillis said the registration system would be essentially the same with an arena system on January 9, 11, 12, and 13. Final examinations would then be on January 14, 15, 16, 18, and 19, something in that order. Classes were scheduled for the 9th and 11th but it would be attempted to have the scheduling fitting around any particular classes for those who are scheduled to register at that time. The final details would be given out later. Mullock said what he meant to ask was whether there would be pre-scheduling during the second semester for the fall semester. Gillis said there would be. A question was called and the motion carried to accept the second semester calendar.

Gillis said the calendar for the summer of 1971 and the both semester of 1971-1972 was an effort to give the major dates. He moved to accept the calendar and Edward Hauck seconded. Thomas D. Goodrich asked if the discussion was about the coming summer and the coming year. Ianni replied that this was correct. James W. Laughlin said he preferred the freshman orientation for the 6th through the 9th instead of the dates on the calendar, which he felt were too long. Gillis amended his motion to strike out this part. He said he could leave out items from the catalog that were objected to by the Senate. Hugh B. Johnson, Jr. asked about the faculty workshop. He said the date was too early and was before any scheduling was done. William W. Hassler said this is a traditional date and could be changed if the faculty preferred. A question was called and the motion carried to approve the calendar for the summer of 1971 and both semesters of 1971-1972.

There were no reports from Committee E (Faculty Tenure, etc.) and Committee F (Graduate Council).

Robert L. Woodard, Chairman, reported for Committee G (Research). Edward Hauck moved and Hugh B. Johnson, Jr. seconded to approve the report. The motion carried and approved:

"Stanford L. Tackett has been added to this committee as a replacement for Morton Morris whose term expired. The committee met on August 3, 1970 and elected Eugene F. Scanlon - Vice Chairman, George T. Wiley - Treasurer, and Stanford L. Tackett - Secretary.

"Since its last report to the Senate, actions of this committee may be summarized as follows: 1. Selected Stanford L. Tackett to receive the Faculty Award for outstanding scholarship at the 1970 May Commencement. 2. Adopted a resolution recognizing Dr. Ralph W. Cordier for a life time (23 years at Indiana) of outstanding scholarly contributions. 3. Gave financial support to Dale W. Woomer, Ming Taw Lu, Joseph S. Angelo, and Wayne J. Davis on evidence of the successful defense of their dissertations. 4. Supported a number of projects to lead to publications by Lawrence A. Ianni, Marshall G. Flamm, Elizabeth A. Schmidt, and Dale E. Landon. 5. Contributed toward the expenses of Vincent J. Ferrara and Jerry L. Pickering who presented major papers at international society meetings. 6. Continued payments for research xeroxing at the library. 7. Sponsored three faculty members in Summer Fellowship Research programs: Robert K. Alico - Biology, W. Wayne Smith - History, and Albert J. Wahl - History.

S. Trevor Hadley, Chairman of Committee H (Student Affairs and Athletics) moved and James M. Oliver seconded to approve the report of the committee. Maurice M. Zacur moved and Shafer seconded to subdivide the report into sections. The motion carried to subdivide. S. Trevor Hadley then moved and James M. Oliver seconded to adopt items 1-2-4-5-6. The motion carried and accepted:

"1. Approved the all-sports schedules for the University for 1970-71. 2. Approved the recommendation that a member of the Women's Physical Education Department, to be called the Director of Women's Athletics, be appointed to the Sub-committee on the Athletic Policy in order to give proper recognition to this area of University activity. It was further recommended that Miss Podbielski be named to this position. 4. Appointed Margaret Anna and Don Brown as student members of a committee to screen applicants for the Dean of Men's position. 5. Appointed a committee to review salaries paid to students on the various staff positions under the direction of the Student Cooperative Association and directed that budget monies allocated for these purposes be kept in escrow until the committee recommends specific salaries. 6. Denied recognition to a petition to recognize Volunteers for New America as a student organization pending more complete information concerning constitution and officers."

S. Trevor Hadley moved and James M. Oliver seconded to adopt item 3 of the report. Maurice M. Zacur said he wanted to speak to oppose the adoption of this item:

"I wish to speak in opposition of the motion to accept the report of Committee H as it pertains to item 3 of the report as it appears on the agenda. Particular attention is drawn to the statement, 'No special faculty rate on reserved seat tickets.' It has long been a courtesy of this campus to extend to the faculty the opportunity to see our athletic teams compete with other schools without any fee attached. The opportunity for faculty to attend football games reflects the interest faculty has for the Athletic Program. It is desirable that such interest continue. In the past, reserved seats were available, without cost, to any faculty member. A faculty committee of which I was a member along with Dr. Marks, Dr. Zenisek, Dr. Stapleton, and Mr. Knowlton agreed that a half-price charge for faculty reserved seats was fair enough and would prevent any abuse of a ticket distribution system. That policy has been in effect for several years and is at present in effect."

"This courtesy may have had as its basis an expression of appreciation for the time and effort given by the faculty to student groups and organizations as sponsors and advisors. I feel that there may be a growing reluctance on the part of many faculty to freely give of their time and talents in order that many student activities may continue. The reduced ticket price has also been used as an additional attraction for new staff. I ask that you reject item 3 of the report."

Hadley said the students believe they are subsidizing the sports program. The faculty had half-price seats and the students did not. Robert Mullock said the students now pay an additional \$10 for the activity fee. Smith asked Mr. Mullock how much faculty get for advising student organizations such as Student Government. Mullock said he felt this matter should not be going through the University Senate but should be decided by the Cooperative Finance Committee. Richard Hazley said faculty could still get in to a football game free but not to a reserved seat. D. A. Ross asked where the money from the reserved fees was going. Christopher Knowlton said the funds go into a separate fund which supports such activities as a training table. James M. Oliver said the money is handled by the Financial Aid Office. Robert Woodard said he had enjoyed the association with the other faculty in the reserved section and that they were a good cheering section for the team.

Herman Sledzik said other schools such as Pitt and Penn State have this arrangement for faculty but the students are challenging the arrangement here. Oliver said the matter was brought up by the Student Government and not the Athletic Department. Wolfe said as a matter of clarification the amount involved was \$5 a year. John J. Hays asked whether it would be possible for faculty to have an ID card only for identification and then pay at the door. He said he did not want to get things when people did not want to give something. A question was called and the motion carried to adopt the item:

"3. Recommended approval of a new fee schedule for football games: Student General Admission--\$1, Adult General Admission--\$2, Reserved Seats--\$3, Homecoming: Adult General--\$3 and Adult Reserved--\$4, No special faculty rate on reserved seat tickets."

Isador R. Lenglet, Chairman of Committee I (Development), moved and Fred Dakak seconded to approve the committee report. The motion carried and accepted:

"(1) A request be made to the Department of Education and the General State Authority (the architectural appointing authority) that an architect be appointed for the preplanning of the new library which has been included as a 1970-71 capital budget item. (2) The Stanley House (located immediately west of Cogswell and used for storage) be assigned to the Black Progressives for their use."

There were no reports from Committee J (Faculty Affairs), Committee K (Continuing and Non-resident Education), Ad Hoc Committee on the Disadvantaged Student, and the Consultative Committee on the Revision of the Rules and Regulations of the University Senate.

In the general discussion, Maurice M. Zacur asked why the Consultative Committee had a name blank on the faculty questionnaire, what is its definition of a democratic Senate as opposed to a representative Senate, and whether there had been an inconsistency--no students on a committee and then later asked how many students should be on the committee. Lorrie J. Bright, chairman of the committee, said it did not occur to him that anyone would object to putting his name on the questionnaire. He said in the democratic Senate every faculty member would belong and in the representative Senate there would be a small body of elected representatives. With regard to the inconsistency, the committee members are not experts in preparing questionnaires and an error could be on the questionnaire. The results of the questionnaire would be published. The committee does not feel that it is bound by the results of the questionnaire.

John E. Frank said they were wondering what the student situation would be on the campus this fall. He said school has been in session for a month and everyone has been helpful. Dietrich, a student, said he felt he could tell the feelings of the other students and the faculty by and large were well liked by the students and would be welcome to any sports events.

The meeting was then adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

*John A. Polesky*

John A. Polesky  
Secretary

Upon a motion duly seconded and carried by unanimous vote, the actions of the University Senate taken at its September 29, 1970, meeting were approved by the Board of Trustees at its meeting held on October 16, 1970.