

Department of Educational and School Psychology Stouffer Hall, Room 246 1175 Maple Street Indiana, PA 15705-1058 P 724-357-2316 F 724-357-6946 www.iup.edu/schoolpsychology

Doctoral Quality Indicators Supervisor/Administrator Evaluation

Please provide your feedback regarding the doctoral intern's level of competence. Please make your judgments are in relation to the <u>doctoral internship</u>. The ratings should be based on actual observation and/or reports of performance received from school personnel, parents and other individuals that are directly associated with the intern's practice.

To guide your ratings, please use the following descriptions:

For items requiring a self-evaluation of skills...

A rating of 1 indicates that the doctoral intern's knowledge base is lacking and he/she has demonstrated little progress or growth since the start of the doctoral internship. This rating indicates that the product is not thorough.

A rating of 2 should be assigned if the doctoral intern has an adequate knowledge base and has acquired the necessary skills and applied them effectively. This rating indicates that the product/evaluation is detailed; however, the product/evaluation may have benefited from additional information.

A rating of 3 should be assigned if the doctoral intern's knowledge base is above average and he/she is able to operate independently and effectively in applying these skills. This rating indicates that the product is extremely thorough and no additional information would improve the product.

For items requiring a self-evaluation of student outcomes...

A rating of 1 indicates that a child/adolescent's behaviors/emotions/skills become worse, according to the data.

A rating of 2 may also indicate that a child/adolescent's behaviors/emotions/skills remained the same, according to the data.

A rating of 3 may also indicate that a child/adolescent's behaviors/emotions/skills improved, according to the data.

Site Supervisor's Signature:	Date:

1.	Conduct an evaluation using selected tests of cognitive functioning that incorporate neuropsychological measures.	
	On the scale below, indicate the thoroughness of the doctoral intern's evaluation.	
	1 2 3	
2.	Participate in problem-solving team(s).	
	On the scale below, indicate the effectiveness of the doctoral intern's actions on the problem-solving team, according to the doctoral intern's final report.	
	1 2 3	
3.	Develop an academic intervention and assess the student's response to intervention (RtI), calculating rate of improvement and graphing data during course of intervention.	
	a. On the scale below, indicate how the child responded to the intervention, according to the data.	
	1 2 3	
	b. On the scale below, indicate the thoroughness of the intern's academic intervention.	
	1 2 3	
4.	Conduct a functional behavioral assessment (FBA), design a behavioral intervention plan (BIP), and track progress using single-subject methodology.	
	a. On the scale below, indicate how the child responded to the intervention, according to the data.	
	1 2 3	
	b. On the scale below, indicate the thoroughness of the intern's FBA, BIP, and data collection.	
	1 2 3	
Sit	te Supervisor's Signature: Date:	

5.	Engage in systems consultation.			
	On the scale below, indicate the effectiveness of the doctoral intern's actions while engaging in systems consultation, according to the doctoral intern's reflection paper.			
	1 2 3			
6.	Engage in counseling sessions with identified student(s) (specify individual and/or group format).			
	 On the scale below, indicate how the child responded to the counseling, according to the data. 			
	1 2 3			
	b. On the scale below, indicate the thoroughness of the intern's counseling plan and/or sessions.			
	1 2 3			
7.	Demonstrate systems initiative(s) in family-school collaboration.			
On the scale below, indicate the doctoral intern's documentation of involvem in family-school collaboration.				
	1 2 3			
8.	Conduct evaluations of students with low-incidence disabilities.			
	On the scale below, indicate the thoroughness of the doctoral intern's evaluation.			
	1 2 3			
9.	Conduct a program evaluation.			
	On the scale below, indicate the thoroughness of the doctoral intern's program evaluation, according to the doctoral intern's final report.			
	1 2 3			
~				
S	ite Supervisor's Signature: Date:			

10.	Demonstrate leadership activities.	Demonstrate leadership activities.			
	On the scale below, indicate the doctoral intern's involvement in leadership activities, according to the doctoral intern's leadership documentation.				
	1 2 3				
,	Site Supervisor's Signature:	Date:			